

Giampiero Esposito

**DIRAC OPERATOR AND
EIGENVALUES IN RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY**

Based on 5 graduate lectures given by the author at SISSA, April 1994.

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

Author

Giampiero Esposito

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Gruppo IV, Sezione di Napoli

Mostra d'Oltremare Padiglione 20

I-80125 Napoli, Italy

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche

Mostra d'Oltremare Padiglione 19

I-80125 Napoli, Italy

PROGRAM

Lecture 1. Differential operators on manifolds. Index of elliptic operators. Dirac operator. (Refs. [1,2,6])

Lecture 2. Index problem for manifolds with a boundary. Index of the Dirac operator and anomalies. (Refs. [1,3,6])

Lecture 3. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. Heat equation and asymptotic heat kernel. The η - and ζ -functions. (Refs. [2,4,6])

Lecture 4. Two-component spinor calculus. Dirac and Weyl equations in two-component spinor form. Weyl equation with spectral or local boundary conditions. Potentials for massless spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ fields. (Refs. [4,5,6])

Lecture 5. Self-adjointness of the boundary-value problem for the Dirac operator in a particular class of Riemannian four-geometries with boundary. Asymptotic expansion of the corresponding heat kernel. (Refs. [4,6])

REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah M. F. (1988a) *Collected Works, Vol. 3 (Index Theory: 1)* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).

- [2] Atiyah M. F. (1988b) *Collected Works, Vol. 4 (Index Theory: 2)* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).
- [3] Atiyah M. F. (1988c) *Collected Works, Vol. 5 (Gauge Theories)* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).
- [4] Esposito G. (1994a) *Quantum Gravity, Quantum Cosmology and Lorentzian Geometries*, second corrected and enlarged edition (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).
- [5] Esposito G. (1994b) *Complex General Relativity* (DSF preprint 93/47).
- [6] Problems and notes for the students.

INTRODUCTION

When the reader is not familiar with the topic he is going to study, he may have to read twice a detailed introduction, and these lecture notes are no exception in this respect. First, the reader might want to have an idea of main concepts and results he is expected to learn. Second, after having taken the trouble to study definitions, proofs and calculations, he might come back to the introduction, to appreciate (or disagree with) the choice of topics, the logical order, and how the various subjects are intertwined.

The aim of the Lectures is to introduce first-year Ph.D. students to the theory of the Dirac operator, spinor techniques, and their relevance for the theory of eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry. For this purpose, Lecture 1 begins with a review of exterior algebra and Clifford algebra. These algebras lead, by Fourier transform, to certain (standard) differential operators on vector spaces. After introducing a Riemannian metric, these can be globalized to manifolds. The naturally occurring differential operators are exterior differentiation, Hodge-Laplace operator, signature operator, and the Dirac operator. The latter is first introduced by looking at Euclidean space R^{2k} , with Clifford algebra as a full matrix algebra acting on spin-space. Spin-space is given by the direct sum of positive and negative spin-spaces, and the Dirac operator interchanges these spaces. In the case of a compact oriented manifold X of even dimension $2k$, assuming a spin-structure on such a manifold, and using a Levi-Civita connection on the principal $SO(2k)$ bundle of X , the Dirac operator can be defined as a first-order, elliptic, self-adjoint differential operator. Harmonic spinors are also introduced.

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

Symbols of elliptic operators and local Bott theorem are used to show that the symbol of the Dirac operator on a compact manifold is a generator for all elliptic symbols. Moreover, from the global Bott theorem one knows that every symbol is equivalent to the symbol of the restricted Dirac operator. Thus, if one can evaluate the index of the restricted Dirac operator, one gets a formula for the index of all elliptic operators (under suitable conditions). The index, defined as the difference between the dimensions of the null-spaces of a given operator and of its adjoint, plays a key role in the theory of eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry. From the point of view of theoretical and mathematical physics, index theorems and index formulae are used to study elliptic boundary-value problems relevant for quantum amplitudes, and anomalies in quantum field theory (see below).

When one obtains index formulae for closed manifolds, it is natural to ask for extensions to manifolds with boundary. One has then to understand how to measure the topological implications of elliptic boundary conditions. Such boundary conditions have, of course, an effect on the index. For example, if b is a nowhere vanishing vector field on the unit disk X in the plane, and D is the Laplace operator on X , the index of the boundary-value problem (D, b) is found to be $2(1 - \text{winding number of } b)$. The powerful techniques of differential geometry can be used to derive general index formulae for the elliptic boundary-value problems of mathematical physics, as done in Lecture 2. Remarkably, the index is unchanged by perturbation of the operator. Hence it can be given by a topological formula, and if the gauge field A is continuously varied, the corresponding index D_A does not change. It is possible, however, to extract more topological information from a continuous family of operators. This analysis is motivated by the attempts to

quantize gauge theories of fundamental interactions. In fact, in quantizing gauge theories one has to introduce determinants of operators, and a regularization is necessary to make sense of the (divergent) quantum amplitudes. For this purpose, the tool used by physicists is the generalized Riemann ζ -function. It relies on the study of the heat equation, self-adjointness theory, and the theory of complex powers of elliptic operators.

Since these Lectures are mainly concerned with the Dirac operator, Lecture 3 begins by introducing instead the η -function. This function, obtained from the positive and negative eigenvalues of a self-adjoint elliptic operator, relates spectral invariants to the properties of oriented Riemannian four-manifolds with boundary. More precisely, the η -function is regular at the origin, and such a value is proportional to the value taken by a certain continuous function depending only on the boundary (and related in turn to the signature of the quadratic form and to the first Pontrjagin class). A detailed calculation of the η -function and of its value at the origin is then given in a particular case, following the work of Atiyah, Patodi and Singer. The basic steps in performing ζ -function calculations are studied already at the end of Lecture 2.

Lecture 4 presents two-component spinor calculus by relying on the definition of unprimed and primed spin-spaces as symplectic spaces. The basic isomorphisms in the Riemannian case are the one between a spin-space and its dual, and the one between the tangent space at a point, and the tensor product of unprimed and primed spin-space. However, unprimed and primed spin-space are not isomorphic (as in the Lorentzian case), and this makes it possible to have a rich theory of self-duality and anti-self-duality for gauge fields and gravitation. Moreover, the only conjugation available is the so-called Euclidean

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

conjugation, which maps a given spin-space to itself, and is anti-involutory on spinor fields with an odd number of indices. The Weyl equation is then studied as part of the massless free-field equations of classical field theory, and the corresponding Dirac equation in the massive case is also given. The classical boundary-value problem for solutions of the Weyl equation subject to spectral or supersymmetric boundary conditions on a three-sphere is then studied in detail. One has indeed a choice of spectral or local boundary conditions for fermionic fields by virtue of the first-order nature of the Dirac operator. The former are motivated by the work of Atiyah, Patodi and Singer described in Lecture 3, whereas the latter are motivated by supersymmetric gauge theories of gravitation, combining bosonic and fermionic fields in suitable matter supermultiplets. It has been a recent achievement of theoretical and mathematical physicists to show that such local boundary conditions also lead to well-posed elliptic problems for the Dirac operator, besides being physically more relevant. Last but not least, Penrose potentials for spin three-halves are studied, since the gauge freedom for such potentials is (again) expressed in terms of solutions of positive- and negative-helicity Weyl equations. The corresponding calculations, motivated by the attempt of Penrose to give a purely geometric characterization of vacuum Einstein theory for complex or real Riemannian four-metrics, also shed new light on a class of elliptic boundary-value problems relevant for cosmology and fundamental physics [5].

Lecture 5 begins with a proof of the existence of self-adjoint extensions of the Dirac operator subject to supersymmetric boundary conditions on a three-sphere, following [4]. For simplicity, the background is flat Riemannian four-space, with a three-sphere boundary. The proof involves the generalization of a method due to von Neumann, originally

developed for complex scalar fields for which complex conjugation can be defined. Here, one deals instead with spinor fields for which only Euclidean conjugation (see above) can be defined. The corresponding asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel is then derived in detail. Although the result is non-trivial, it relies on the standard techniques of integration in the complex domain and uniform asymptotic expansions of Bessel functions. While some readers may not be interested in the relevance of this analysis for quantum field theory in the presence of boundaries (a new research topic entirely revolutionized in the last few years), I hope they will appreciate there is a fertile interplay between topological, analytic and geometrical ideas in modern mathematical physics.

LECTURE 1.

L1.1 Exterior Algebra and Clifford Algebra

For our purposes, we only need the basic definitions of these algebras. For the sake of completeness, however, a few more details are given following [1,2], whereas a more comprehensive treatment can be found, again, in [1,2]. In the Lectures, only part of this section will be used.

If V is a complex n -dimensional vector space, we can form the exterior powers $\Lambda^q(V)$. They are vector spaces of dimension $\binom{n}{q}$, and $GL(V)$ acts naturally on them. Representations Λ^q of $GL(n, C)$, and hence of $U(n)$, are obtained by taking $V = C^n$. If $v \in V$ then

exterior multiplication by v defines a linear transformation $T_v : \Lambda^q(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{q+1}(V)$. If v does not vanish, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^1(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^n(V) \rightarrow 0 \quad (L1.1.1)$$

is *exact*. In fact, if T_v^* denotes the adjoint of T_v with respect to the natural inner product of $\Lambda^*(V)$ given by an inner product on V , then

$$T_v^* T_v + T_v T_v^* = \|v\|^2 I \quad , \quad (L1.1.2)$$

where I is the identity. Eq. (L1.1.2) is checked by using an orthonormal base of V for which $v = \lambda e_1$. It immediately implies the exactness of the sequence (L1.1.1), since $T_v(x) = 0$ yields $x = T_v \left(T_v^* \frac{x}{\|v\|^2} \right)$.

Analogous results hold for real vector spaces. Thus, the exterior powers $\Lambda^q(R^n)$ give real representations of $GL(n, R)$ and hence of $O(n)$. Note that $\Lambda^q(R^{2n})$ and $\Lambda^q(C^n)$ are sharply distinguished, since the first has real dimension $\binom{2n}{q}$ while the second has complex dimension $\binom{n}{q}$. Since, for a complex vector space V , one has a natural isomorphism $V \otimes_R C \cong V \oplus \overline{V}$, and since $\Lambda^*(V \oplus W) \cong \Lambda^*(V) \otimes \Lambda^*(W)$, it follows that

$$\Lambda^q(V) \otimes_R C \cong \Lambda^q(V \otimes_R C) \cong \Lambda^*(V) \otimes \Lambda^*(\overline{V}) \quad . \quad (L1.1.3)$$

This tells us how the exterior-algebra representation of $O(2n)$ decomposes under restriction to $U(n)$.

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

For Euclidean space E one has a natural duality isomorphism $*$: $\Lambda^q(E) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-q}(E)$, where $n = \dim E$. Choosing an orthonormal base $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ of E this is given by

$$*\left(e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_q}\right) = \epsilon e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{n-q}} \quad , \quad (L1.1.4)$$

where $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_q, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-q})$ is a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ of sign ϵ . This isomorphism depends on having an orientation of E . If E is even-dimensional: $\dim E = 2l$, then on the middle dimension $\Lambda^l(E)$ we have $*^2 = (-1)^l$. If $l = 2k$ then $*^2 = 1$ and we can decompose $\Lambda^l(E)$ into subspaces $\Lambda_+^l(E)$ and $\Lambda_-^l(E)$ given by the eigenspaces of $*$. Thus, as representations of $SO(4k)$ we have

$$\Lambda^{2k} \cong \Lambda_+^{2k} \oplus \Lambda_-^{2k} \quad . \quad (L1.1.5)$$

If Q is a quadratic form on a real vector space V , the corresponding Clifford algebra $C(Q)$ is defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra of V by the ideal generated by all elements of the form $x \otimes x - Q(x)1$ for $x \in V$. If $Q = 0$ we get the exterior algebra of V . If $V = R^n$ and $Q(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ we get the Clifford algebra C_n which has generators $e_1, \dots, e_n$ obeying the relations

$$e_i^2 = -1 \quad , \quad (L1.1.6)$$

$$e_i e_j + e_j e_i = 0 \quad i \neq j \quad . \quad (L1.1.7)$$

Interestingly, $\dim C_n = \dim \Lambda^*(R^n) = 2^n$. An important result holds, according to which if $n = 2k$, the complexified Clifford algebra $\tilde{C}_n \equiv C_n \otimes_R C$ is a full matrix algebra $M(2^k)$. Moreover, if $n = 2k + 1$, $\tilde{C}_n \cong M(2^k) \oplus M(2^k)$ (see problem L1.1).

L1.2 Exterior Differentiation and Hodge-Laplace Operator

Let X be an n -dimensional C^∞ manifold and let $\Omega^q(X)$ denote the space of C^∞ exterior differential forms of degree q on X . One then has a naturally occurring differential operator $d : \Omega^q(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(X)$ which extends the differential of a function. The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^n(X) \rightarrow 0$$

is a complex in that $d^2 = 0$, and the main theorem of de Rham states that the cohomology of this complex is isomorphic to the cohomology of X , with real coefficients. In other words, if $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ is a basis for the homology in dimension q , then a q -form ω exists with $d\omega = 0$ having prescribed periods $\int_\omega \gamma_i$, and ω is unique modulo the addition of forms $d\theta$. In particular, if $X = R^n$, the corresponding $\Omega^q(X)$ can be identified with C^∞ maps $R^n \rightarrow \Lambda^q(R^{n*})$, and d becomes a constant-coefficient operator whose Fourier transform is exterior multiplication by $i\xi$, for $\xi \in (R^n)^*$.

If X is compact oriented, and a Riemannian metric is given on X , we can define a positive-definite inner product on $\Omega^q(X)$ by

$$\langle u, v \rangle \equiv \int_X u_\wedge * v \quad , \quad (L1.2.1)$$

where $*$: $\Omega^q(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-q}(X)$ is the isomorphism given by the metric as in section L1.1.

By virtue of the identity

$$d(u_\wedge * v) = du_\wedge * v + (-1)^q u_\wedge d * v \quad , \quad (L1.2.2)$$

where $u \in \Omega^q, v \in \Omega^{q-1}$, one gets

$$\int_X du_{\wedge} * v + (-1)^q \int_X u_{\wedge} d * v = 0 \quad . \quad (L1.2.3)$$

This implies

$$\langle du, v \rangle = (-1)^{q+1} \langle u, *^{-1} d * v \rangle \quad . \quad (L1.2.4)$$

Thus, the adjoint d^* of d on Ω^q is given by

$$d^* = (-1)^{q+1} *^{-1} d * = \epsilon^* d^* \quad , \quad (L1.2.5)$$

where ϵ may take the values ± 1 depending on n, q .

The corresponding Hodge-Laplace operator on Ω^q is therefore defined as

$$\Delta \equiv dd^* + d^*d \quad , \quad (L1.2.6)$$

and the harmonic forms $\mathcal{H}^q$ are the solutions of $\Delta u = 0$. The main theorem of Hodge theory is that $\mathcal{H}^q$ is isomorphic to the q -th de Rham group and hence to the q -th cohomology group of X .

L1.3 Index of Elliptic Operators and Signature Operator

It is convenient to consider the operator $d + d^*$ acting on $\Omega^*(X) \equiv \oplus_q \Omega^q(X)$. Since $d^2 = (d^*)^2 = 0$, one has $\Delta = (d + d^*)^2$ and the harmonic forms are also the solutions of $(d + d^*)u = 0$. If we consider $d + d^*$ as an operator $\Omega^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \Omega^{\text{odd}}$, its null-space is $\oplus \mathcal{H}^{2q}$ while the null-space of its adjoint is $\oplus \mathcal{H}^{2q+1}$. Hence its *index* is the Euler characteristic of X . It is now appropriate to define elliptic differential operators and their index.

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

Let us denote again by X a compact oriented smooth manifold, and by E, F two smooth complex vector bundles over X . We consider linear differential operators

$$D : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F) \quad , \quad (L1.3.1)$$

i.e. linear operators defined on the spaces of smooth sections and expressible locally by a matrix of partial derivatives. Note that the extra generality involved in considering vector bundles presents no serious difficulties and it is quite essential in a systematic treatment on manifolds, since all geometrically interesting operators operate on vector bundles.

Let $T^*(X)$ denote the cotangent vector bundle of X , $S(X)$ the unit sphere-bundle in $T^*(X)$ (relative to some Riemannian metric), $\pi : S(X) \rightarrow X$ the projection. Then, associated with D there is a vector-bundle homomorphism

$$\sigma(D) : \pi^*E \rightarrow \pi^*F \quad , \quad (L1.3.2)$$

which is called the symbol of D . In terms of local coordinates $\sigma(D)$ is obtained from D replacing $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ by $i\xi_j$ in the highest-order terms of D (ξ_j is the j th coordinate in the cotangent bundle). By definition, D is elliptic if $\sigma(D)$ is an isomorphism. Of course, this implies that the complex vector bundles E, F have the same dimension.

One of the basic properties of elliptic operators is that $\text{Ker } D$ (i.e. the null-space) and $\text{Coker } D \equiv \Gamma(F)/D\Gamma(E)$ are both finite-dimensional. The *index* $\gamma(D)$ is defined by

$$\gamma(D) \equiv \dim \text{Ker } D - \dim \text{Coker } D \quad . \quad (L1.3.3)$$

If $D^* : \Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ denotes the formal adjoint of D , relative to metrics in E, F, X , then D^* is also elliptic and

$$\text{Coker } D \cong \text{Ker } D^* \quad , \quad (L1.3.4)$$

so that

$$\gamma(D) = \dim \text{Ker } D - \dim \text{Ker } D^* \quad . \quad (L1.3.5)$$

Getting back to the definition of symbol, we find it helpful for the reader to say that, for the exterior derivative d , its symbol is exterior multiplication by $i\xi$, for Δ it is $-\|\xi\|^2$, and for $d + d^*$ it is iA_ξ , where A_ξ is Clifford multiplication by ξ [1,2].

For a given $\alpha \in \Lambda^p(R^{2l}) \otimes_R C$, let us denote by τ the involution

$$\tau(\alpha) \equiv i^{p(p-1)+l} * \alpha \quad . \quad (L1.3.6)$$

If $n = 2l$, τ can be expressed as $i^l \omega$, where ω denotes Clifford multiplication by the volume form $*1$. Remarkably, $(d + d^*)$ and τ anti-commute. In the Clifford Algebra one has $\xi\omega = -\omega\xi$ for $\xi \in T^*$. Thus, if $\Omega^\pm$ denote the ± 1 -eigenspaces of τ , $(d + d^*)$ maps Ω^+ into Ω^- . The restricted operator

$$d + d^* : \Omega_+ \rightarrow \Omega_- \quad , \quad (L1.3.7)$$

is called the *signature operator* and denoted by A . If $l = 2k$, the index of this operator is equal to $\dim \mathcal{H}_+ - \dim \mathcal{H}_-$ where $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are the spaces of harmonic forms in $\Omega_\pm$. If $q \neq l$, the space $\mathcal{H}^q \oplus \mathcal{H}^{n-q}$ is stable under τ , which just interchanges the two factors. Hence one gets a vanishing contribution to the index from such a space. For $q = l = 2k$, however, one has $\tau(\alpha) = i^{l(l-1)+l} * \alpha = * \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Omega^l$. One thus finds

$$\text{index } A = \dim \mathcal{H}_+^l - \dim \mathcal{H}_-^l \quad , \quad (L1.3.8)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\pm^l$ are the ± 1 -eigenspaces of $*$ on $\mathcal{H}^l$. Thus, since the inner product in $\mathcal{H}^l$ is given by $\int u \wedge *v$, one finds

$$\text{index } A = \text{Sign}(X) \quad , \quad (L1.3.9)$$

where $\text{Sign}(X)$ is the signature of the quadratic form on $H^{2k}(X; R)$ given by the cup-product. Hence the name for the operator A . It is now worth recalling that the cup-product is a very useful algebraic structure occurring in cohomology theory. It works as follows. Given $[\omega] \in H^p(M; R)$ and $[\nu] \in H^q(M; R)$, then we define the cup-product of $[\omega]$ and $[\nu]$, written $[\omega] \cup [\nu]$, by

$$[\omega] \cup [\nu] \equiv [\omega \wedge \nu] \quad . \quad (L1.3.10)$$

The right-hand-side of (L1.3.10) is a $(p+q)$ -form so that $[\omega \wedge \nu] \in H^{p+q}(M; R)$. One can check, using the properties of closed and exact forms, that this is a well-defined product of cohomology classes. The cup-product $\cup$ is therefore a map of the form

$$\cup : H^p(M; R) \times H^q(M; R) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(M; R) \quad . \quad (L1.3.11)$$

If we define the sum of all cohomology groups $H^*(M; R)$ by

$$H^*(M; R) \equiv \oplus_{p \geq 0} H^p(M; R) \quad , \quad (L1.3.12)$$

the cup-product has the neater looking form

$$\cup : H^*(M; R) \times H^*(M; R) \rightarrow H^*(M; R) \quad . \quad (L1.3.13)$$

The product on $H^*(M; R)$ defined in (L1.3.13) makes $H^*(M; R)$ into a ring. It can happen that two spaces M and N have the same cohomology groups and yet are not topologically the same. This can be proved by evaluating the cup-product $\cup$ for $H^*(M; R)$ and $H^*(N; R)$, and showing that the resulting rings are different. An example is provided by choosing $M \equiv S^2 \times S^4$ and $N \equiv CP^3$.

L1.4 Dirac Operator

We begin our analysis by considering Euclidean space R^{2k} . The Clifford algebra $\tilde{C}_{2k}$ of section 1 is a full matrix algebra acting on the 2^k -dimensional spin-space S . Moreover, S is given by the direct sum of S^+ and S^- , i.e. the eigenspaces of $\omega = e_1 e_2 \dots e_{2k}$, and $\omega = \pm i^k$ on $S^\pm$. Let us now denote by $E_1, \dots, E_{2k}$ the linear transformations on S representing $e_1, \dots, e_{2k}$. The Dirac operator is then defined as the first-order differential operator

$$D \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2k} E_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad , \quad (L1.4.1)$$

and satisfies $D^2 = -\Delta \cdot I$, where I is the identity of spin-space. Note that, since the e_i anti-commute with ω , the Dirac operator interchanges the positive and negative spin-spaces, S^+ and S^- . Restriction to S^+ yields the operator

$$B : C^\infty(R^{2k}, S^+) \rightarrow C^\infty(R^{2k}, S^-) \quad . \quad (L1.4.2)$$

Since $E_i^2 = -1$, in the standard metric the E_i are unitary and hence skew-adjoint. Moreover, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is also formally skew-adjoint, it follows that D is formally self-adjoint, i.e. the formal adjoint of B is the restriction of D to S^- .

The next step is the global situation of a compact oriented $2k$ -dimensional manifold X . First, we assume that a spin-structure exists on X . By this we mean that the principal $SO(2k)$ bundle P of X , consisting of oriented orthonormal frames, lifts to a principal $\text{Spin}(2k)$ bundle Q , i.e. the map $Q \rightarrow P$ is a double covering inducing the standard covering $\text{Spin}(2k) \rightarrow SO(2k)$ on each fibre. If $S^\pm$ are the two half-spin representations of

$\text{Spin}(2k)$, we consider the associated vector bundles on X : $E^\pm \equiv Q \times_{\text{Spin}(2k)} S^\pm$. Sections of these vector bundles are the spinor fields on X . The *total* Dirac operator on X is a differential operator acting on $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ and switching factors as above. To define D we have to use the Levi-Civita connection on P , which lifts to one on Q . This enables one to define the covariant derivative

$$\nabla : C^\infty(X, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, E \otimes T^*) \quad , \quad (L1.4.3)$$

and D is defined as the composition of ∇ with the map $C^\infty(X, E \otimes T^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, E)$ induced by Clifford multiplication. By using an orthonormal base e_i of T at any point we can write

$$Ds \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2k} e_i \nabla_i s \quad , \quad (L1.4.4)$$

where $\nabla_i s$ is the covariant derivative in the direction e_i , and $e_i(\cdot)$ denotes Clifford multiplication. By looking at symbols, D is skew-adjoint. Hence $D - D^*$ is an algebraic invariant of the metric and it only involves the first derivatives of g . Using normal coordinates one finds that any such invariant vanishes, which implies $D = D^*$, i.e. D is self-adjoint.

As in Euclidean space, the restriction of D to the half-spinors E^+ is denoted by

$$B : C^\infty(X, E^+) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, E^-) \quad . \quad (L1.4.5)$$

The index of the restricted Dirac operator is given by

$$\text{index } B = \dim \mathcal{H}^+ - \dim \mathcal{H}^- \quad , \quad (L1.4.6)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

where $\mathcal{H}^\pm$ are the spaces of solutions of $Du = 0$, for u a section of $E^\pm$. Since D is elliptic and self-adjoint, these spaces are also the solutions of $D^2u = 0$, and are called *harmonic spinors*.

LECTURE II.

L2.1 Index Problem for Manifolds with Boundary

Following [1,2], this section is devoted to the extension of the index formula for closed manifolds, to manifolds with boundary. The naturally occurring question is then how to measure the topological implications of elliptic boundary conditions, since boundary conditions have of course a definite effect on the index.

For example, let X be the unit disk in the plane, let Y be the boundary of X , and let b be a nowhere-vanishing vector field on Y . Denoting by D the Laplacian on X , we consider the operator

$$(D, b) : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X) \oplus C^\infty(Y) \quad . \quad (L2.1.1)$$

By definition, (D, b) sends f into $Df \oplus (bf \mid Y)$, where bf is the directional derivative of f along b . Since D is elliptic and b is non-vanishing, kernel and cokernel of (D, b) are both finite-dimensional, hence the index of the boundary-value problem is finite. One would now like it to express the index in terms of the topological data given by D and the boundary conditions. The solution of this problem is expressed by a formula derived by Vekua [1,2], according to which

$$\text{index } (D, b) = 2 \left(1 - \text{winding number of } b \right) \quad . \quad (L2.1.2)$$

It is now necessary to recall the index formula for closed manifolds, and this is here done in the case of vector bundles. From now on, X is the base manifold, Y its boundary,

$T(X)$ the tangent bundle of X , $B = B(X)$ the ball-bundle (consisting of vectors in $T(X)$ of length ≤ 1), $S = S(X)$ the sphere-bundle of unit vectors in $T(X)$. Of course, if X is a closed manifold (hence without boundary), then $S(X)$ is just the boundary of $B(X)$, i.e.

$$\partial B(X) = S(X) \quad \text{if } \partial X = \emptyset \quad . \quad (L2.1.3)$$

However, if X has a boundary Y , then

$$\partial B(X) = S(X) \cup B(X) | Y \quad , \quad (L2.1.4)$$

where $B(X) | Y$ is the subspace of $B(X)$ lying over Y under the natural map $\pi : B(X) \rightarrow X$.

We now focus on a system of k linear partial differential operators, i.e.

$$Df_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij} f_j \quad , \quad (L2.1.5)$$

defined on the n -dimensional manifold X . As we know from Lecture 1, the symbol of D is a function $\sigma(D)$ on $T^*(X)$ attaching to each cotangent vector λ of X , the matrix $\sigma(D : \lambda)$ obtained from the highest terms of A_{ij} by replacing $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}$ with $(i\lambda)^\alpha$. Moreover, the system D is *elliptic* if and only if the function $\sigma(D)$ maps $S(X)$ into the group $GL(k, C)$ of non-singular $k \times k$ matrices with complex coefficients. Thus, defining

$$GL \equiv \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} GL(m, C) \quad , \quad (L2.1.6)$$

the symbol defines a map

$$\sigma(D) : S(X) \rightarrow GL \quad . \quad (L2.1.7)$$

Since the index of an elliptic system is invariant under deformations, on a closed manifold the index of D only depends on the homotopy class of the map $\sigma(D)$ [1,2].

To write down the index formula we are looking for, one constructs a definite differential form $ch \equiv \sum_i ch^i$ on GL . Note that, strictly, we define a differential form $ch(m)$ on each $GL(m, C)$. Moreover, by using a universal expression in the curvature of X , one constructs a definite form $\mathcal{F}(X) \equiv \sum_i \mathcal{F}^i(X)$ on X . The index formula for a closed manifold then yields the index of D as an integral [1,2]

$$\text{index}(D) = \int_{S(X)} \sigma(D)^* ch \wedge \pi^* \mathcal{F}(X) \quad . \quad (L2.1.8)$$

With our notation, $\sigma(D)^* ch$ is the form on GL pulled back to $S(X)$ via $\sigma(D)$. In light of (L2.1.3), the integral (L2.1.8) may be re-written as

$$\text{index}(D) = \int_{\partial B(X)} \sigma(D)^* ch \wedge \pi^* \mathcal{F}(X) \quad . \quad (L2.1.9)$$

In this form the index formula is also meaningful for a manifold with boundary, providing that $\sigma(D)$, originally defined on $S(X)$, is extended to $\partial B(X)$. It is indeed in this extension that the topological data of a set of elliptic boundary conditions manifest themselves. Following [1,2], we may in fact state the following theorem:

Theorem L2.1.1 A set of elliptic boundary conditions, B , on the elliptic system D , defines a definite map $\sigma(D, B) : \partial B(X) \rightarrow GL$, which extends the map $\sigma(D) : S(X) \rightarrow GL$ to the whole of $\partial B(X)$.

One thus finds an index theorem formally analogous to the original one, in that the index of D subject to the elliptic boundary condition B is given by

$$\text{index}(D, B) = \int_{\partial B(X)} \sigma(D, B)^* ch \wedge \pi^* \mathcal{F}(X) \quad . \quad (L2.1.10)$$

L2.2 Elliptic Boundary Conditions

Although this section is (a bit) technical, it is necessary to include it for the sake of completeness. Relevant examples of elliptic boundary-value problems will be given in Lectures 3, 4 and 5.

Following [1-2], let D be a $k \times k$ elliptic system of differential operators on X , and let r denote the order of D . The symbol $\sigma(D)$ is a function on the cotangent vector bundle $T^*(X)$ whose values are $(k \times k)$ -matrices, and its restriction to the unit sphere-bundle $S(X)$ takes non-singular values. For our purposes, it is now necessary to consider a system B of boundary operators given by an $l \times k$ matrix with rows $b_1, \dots, b_l$ of orders $r_1, \dots, r_l$ respectively. We now denote by $\sigma(b_i)$ the symbol of b_i , and by $\sigma(B)$ the matrix with $\sigma(b_i)$ as i -th row. At a point of the boundary Y of X , let ν be the unit inward normal and let y denote any unit tangent vector to Y . One puts

$$\sigma_y(D)(t) = \sigma(D)(y + t\nu) \quad , \quad (L2.2.1)$$

$$\sigma_y(B)(t) = \sigma(B)(y + t\nu) \quad , \quad (L2.2.2)$$

so that $\sigma_y(D)$ and $\sigma_y(B)$ are polynomials in t . It then makes sense to consider the system of ordinary linear equations

$$\sigma_y(D) \left(-i \frac{d}{dt} \right) u = 0 \quad , \quad (L2.2.3)$$

whose space of solutions is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_y$. The ellipticity of the system D means, by definition, that $\mathcal{M}_y$ can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{M}_y = \mathcal{M}_y^+ \oplus \mathcal{M}_y^- \quad , \quad (L2.2.4)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_y^+$ consists only of exponential polynomials involving $e^{i\lambda t}$ with $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$, and $\mathcal{M}_y^-$ involves those with $\text{Im}(\lambda) < 0$. The ellipticity condition for the system of boundary operators, relative to the elliptic system of differential operators, is that the equations (L2.2.3) should have a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{M}_y^+$ satisfying the boundary condition

$$\sigma_y(B) \left(-i \frac{d}{dt} \right) u|_{t=0} = V \quad , \quad (L2.2.5)$$

for any given $V \in C^l$.

Although your lecturer is not quite algebraically oriented, he has to use a few (more) algebraic concepts at this point [1-2]. Let $\Lambda \subset C(t)$ be the ring of all rational functions of t with no poles in the half-plane $\text{Im}(t) > 0$. One may then regard $\sigma_y(D)(t)$ as defining a homomorphism of free Λ -modules of rank k , and its cokernel is denoted by M_y^+ . One thus has the exact sequence of Λ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^k \rightarrow \Lambda^k \rightarrow M_y^+ \rightarrow 0 \quad . \quad (L2.2.6)$$

Now a lemma can be proved, according to which a natural isomorphism of vector spaces exists

$$M_y^+ \cong \mathcal{M}_y^+ \quad . \quad (L2.2.7)$$

Hence the elliptic boundary condition yields an isomorphism

$$\beta_y^+ : M_y^+ \rightarrow C^l \quad . \quad (L2.2.8)$$

The set of all M_y^+ , for $y \in S(Y)$, forms a vector bundle M^+ over $S(Y)$, and (L2.2.8) defines an isomorphism β^+ of M^+ with the trivial bundle $S(Y) \times C^l$.

Possible boundary conditions are differential or integro-differential. If only differential boundary conditions are imposed then the map β_y^+ , regarded as a function of y , cannot be an arbitrary continuous function. To obtain *all* continuous functions one has to enlarge the problem and consider integro-differential boundary conditions as well [1-2]. This is, indeed, an important topological simplification. Thus, an elliptic problem (D, B) has associated with it $\sigma(D), M^+, \beta^+$, where β^+ can be any vector-bundle isomorphism of M^+ with the trivial bundle.

L2.3 Index Theorem and Anomalies

Anomalies in gauge theories, and their relation to the index theory of the Dirac operator, are at the core of modern attempts to quantize the gauge theories of fundamental interactions. The aim of the second part of this Lecture is to introduce the reader to ideas and problems in this field of research, following [3].

The index theorem is concerned with any elliptic differential operator, but for physical applications one only needs the special case of the Dirac operator. From Lecture 1, we know this is globally defined on any Riemannian manifold (M, g) providing M is oriented and has a spin-structure. If M is compact, the Dirac operator is elliptic, self-adjoint, and

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

has a discrete spectrum of eigenvalues. Of particular interest is the 0-eigenspace (or null-space). By definition, the Dirac operator acts on spinor fields, and it should be emphasized there is a basic algebraic difference between spinors in even and odd dimensions. In fact in odd dimensions spinors belong to an irreducible representation of the spin-group, whereas in even dimensions spinors break up into two irreducible pieces, here denoted by S^+ and S^- . The Dirac operator interchanges these two, hence it consists essentially of an operator

$$D : S^+ \rightarrow S^- \quad , \quad (L2.3.1)$$

and its adjoint

$$D^* : S^- \rightarrow S^+ \quad . \quad (L2.3.2)$$

The dimensions of the null-spaces of D and D^* are denoted by N^+ and N^- respectively, and the index of D (cf. Lecture 1) is defined by

$$\text{index}(D) \equiv N^+ - N^- \quad . \quad (L2.3.3)$$

Note that, although a formal symmetry exists between positive and negative spinors (in fact they are interchanged by reversing the orientation of M), the numbers N^+ and N^- need not be equal, due to topological effects. Hence the origin of the *chiral anomaly*.

The index theorem provides an explicit formula for $\text{index}(D)$ in terms of topological invariants of M . Moreover, by using the Riemannian metric g and its curvature tensor $R(g)$, one can write an explicit integral formula

$$\text{index}(D) = \int_M \Omega(R(g)) \quad , \quad (L2.3.4)$$

where Ω is a formal expression obtained purely algebraically out of $R(g)$. For example, when M is four-dimensional one finds

$$\Omega = \frac{\text{Tr } \omega^2}{96\pi^2} \quad , \quad (L2.3.5)$$

where ω is regarded as a matrix of two-forms. Interestingly, the index formula (L2.3.4) is, from the physical point of view, purely gravitational since it only involves the metric g . Moreover, the index vanishes for the sphere or torus, and one needs more complicated manifolds to exhibit a non-vanishing index. However, (L2.3.4) can be generalized to gauge theories. This means one is given a complex vector bundle V over M with a unitary connection A . If the fibre of V is isomorphic with C^N , then A is a $U(N)$ gauge field over M . The extended Dirac operator now acts on vector-bundle-valued spinors

$$D_A : S^+ \otimes V \rightarrow S^- \otimes V \quad , \quad (L2.3.6)$$

and one defines again the index of D_A by a formula like (L2.3.3). The index formula (L2.3.4) is then replaced by

$$\text{index}(D_A) = \int_M \Omega(R(g), F(A)) \quad , \quad (L2.3.7)$$

where $F(A)$ is the curvature of A , and $\Omega(R, F)$ is a certain algebraic expression in R and F . For example, if M is four-dimensional, (L2.3.5) is generalized by

$$\Omega = \frac{\text{Tr } \omega^2}{96\pi^2} - \frac{\text{Tr } F^2}{8\pi^2} \quad . \quad (L2.3.8)$$

L2.4 Index of Two-Parameter Families

A very important property of the index is that it is unchanged by perturbation of the operator, hence it can be given by a topological formula. This is why, when we vary the gauge field A continuously, the index of the extended Dirac operator D_A does not change. It is however possible to extract more topological information from a continuous family of operators, and we here focus on the two-parameter case [3].

For this purpose we consider a two-dimensional surface X compact, connected and oriented, and suppose a fibre bundle Y over X is given with fibre M . The twist involved in making a non-trivial bundle is an essential topological feature. By giving Y a Riemannian metric, we get metrics g_x on all fibres M_x , hence we have metrics on M parametrized by X . The corresponding family of Dirac operators on M is denoted by D_x . We can now define two topological invariants, i.e. $\text{index}(D_x)$ and the *degree* of the family of Dirac operators. To define such a degree, we restrict ourselves to the particular case when the index vanishes.

Since $\text{index}(D_x) = 0$ by hypothesis, the generic situation (one can achieve this by perturbing the metric on Y) is that D_x is invertible $\forall x \in X$ except at a finite number of points $x_1, \dots, x_k$. Moreover, a multiplicity ν_i can be assigned to each x_i , which is generically ± 1 . To obtain this one can, in the neighbourhood of each x_i , replace D_x by a finite matrix T_x . Zeros of $\det(T_x)$ have multiplicity ν_i at x_i . We are actually dealing with the phase-variation of $\det(T_x)$ as x traverses positively a small circle centred at x_i .

The degree ν of the family of Dirac operators D_x is defined by

$$\nu \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k \nu_i \quad . \quad (L2.4.1)$$

This is a topological invariant of the family in that it is invariant under perturbation. Hence it only depends on the topology of the fibre bundle Y and vanishes for the product $M \times X$. In the case of gauge fields, we can fix the metric g on X and take $Y = M \times X$, but we also take a vector bundle V on Y with a connection. This gives a family A_x of gauge fields, and the corresponding Dirac family has again a degree which now depends on the topology of V . Such a degree vanishes if the vector bundle V comes from a bundle on M .

L2.5 Zeta-Function Regularization of Path Integrals

In the path-integral approach to quantum field theories, one deals with determinants of elliptic operators, and it may not be obvious how to regularize and to retain all the required properties (e.g. gauge-invariance, supersymmetry) at the same time. The formal expressions for one-loop quantum amplitudes clearly diverge [4] since the eigenvalues λ_n increase without bound, and a regularization is indeed necessary. For this purpose, the following technique has been described and applied by many authors.

Bearing in mind that Riemann's zeta-function $\zeta_R(s)$ is defined as

$$\zeta_R(s) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \quad , \quad (L2.5.1)$$

one first defines a generalized zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ obtained from the (positive) eigenvalues of the second-order, self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{B}$. Such $\zeta(s)$ can be defined as

$$\zeta(s) \equiv \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} d_m(n) \lambda_{n,m}^{-s} \quad . \quad (L2.5.2)$$

This means that all the eigenvalues are completely characterized by two integer labels n and m , while their degeneracy d_m only depends on n . This is the case studied in the following lectures. Note that formal differentiation of (L2.5.2) at the origin yields

$$\det(\mathcal{B}) = e^{-\zeta'(0)} \quad . \quad (L2.5.3)$$

This result can be given a sensible meaning since, in four dimensions, $\zeta(s)$ converges for $Re(s) > 2$, and one can perform its analytic extension to a meromorphic function of s which only has poles at $s = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2$. Since $\det(\mu\mathcal{B}) = \mu^{\zeta(0)} \det(\mathcal{B})$, one finds the useful formula for the quantum amplitude [4]

$$\log(\tilde{A}_\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0) + \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi\mu^2)\zeta(0) \quad . \quad (L2.5.4)$$

It may happen quite often that the eigenvalues appearing in (L2.5.2) are unknown, since the eigenvalue condition, i.e. the equation leading to the eigenvalues by virtue of the boundary conditions, is a complicated equation which cannot be solved exactly for the eigenvalues. However, since the scaling properties of the one-loop amplitude are still given by $\zeta(0)$ (and $\zeta'(0)$) as shown in (L2.5.4), efforts have been made to compute the regularized $\zeta(0)$ also in this case. The various steps of this program are as follows [4].

(1) One first studies the heat equation for the operator $\mathcal{B}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F(x, y, \tau) + \mathcal{B}F(x, y, \tau) = 0 \quad , \quad (L2.5.5)$$

where the Green's function F satisfies the initial condition $F(x, y, 0) = \delta(x, y)$.

(2) Assuming completeness of the set $\{\phi_n\}$ of eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{B}$, the field ϕ can be expanded as

$$\phi = \sum_{n=n_i}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n \quad .$$

(3) The Green's function $F(x, y, \tau)$ is then given by

$$F(x, y, \tau) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{n,m}\tau} \phi_{n,m}(x) \otimes \phi_{n,m}(y) \quad . \quad (L2.5.6)$$

(4) The corresponding (integrated) heat kernel is then

$$G(\tau) = \int_M \text{Tr } F(x, x, \tau) \sqrt{g} \, d^4x = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{n,m}\tau} \quad . \quad (L2.5.7)$$

(5) In light of (L2.5.2) and (L2.5.7), the generalized zeta-function can be also obtained as an integral transform of the integrated heat kernel

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} \tau^{s-1} G(\tau) \, d\tau \quad . \quad (L2.5.8)$$

(6) The hard part of the analysis is now to prove that $G(\tau)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$. This property has been proved for all boundary conditions such that the

Laplace operator is self-adjoint [4]. The corresponding asymptotic expansion of $G(\tau)$ can be written as

$$G(\tau) \sim b_1\tau^{-2} + b_2\tau^{-\frac{3}{2}} + b_3\tau^{-1} + b_4\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} + b_5 + O(\sqrt{\tau}) \quad , \quad (L2.5.9)$$

which implies

$$\zeta(0) = b_5 \quad . \quad (L2.5.10)$$

The result (L2.5.10) is proved by splitting the integral in (L2.5.8) into an integral from 0 to 1 and an integral from 1 to ∞ . The asymptotic expansion of $\int_0^1 \tau^{s-1} G(\tau) d\tau$ is then obtained by using (L2.5.9).

In other words, for a given second-order self-adjoint elliptic operator, we study the corresponding heat equation, and the integrated heat kernel $G(\tau)$. The regularized $\zeta(0)$ value is then given by the constant term appearing in the asymptotic expansion of $G(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$. The regularized $\zeta(0)$ value also yields the one-loop divergences of the theory for bosonic and fermionic fields [4].

L2.6 Determinants of Dirac Operators

For fermionic fields one has to define determinants of Dirac operators, and these are not positive-definite by virtue of their first-order nature. Consider as before an even-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with Dirac operators $D_g : S^+ \rightarrow S^-$, depending on the metric g . We look for a regularized complex-valued determinant $\det(D_g)$ which should have the following properties [3]:

- (1) $\det(D_g)$ is a differentiable function of g .

(2) $\det(D_g)$ is gauge-invariant, i.e. $\det(D_{f_g}) = \det(D_g)$ for any diffeomorphism f of M .

(3) $\det(D_g) = 0$ to first-order if and only if $D_g^* D_g$ has exactly one zero-eigenvalue.

The third property is the characteristic property of determinants in finite dimensions and it is a minimum requirement for any regularized determinant. Note also that, since $D_g^* D_g$ is a Laplace-type operator, there is no difficulty in defining its determinant, so that one can also define $|\det(D_g)|$. The problem is to define the *phase* of $\det(D_g)$.

Suppose now one can find a fibration over a surface X with fibre M , so that the Dirac operators D_x all have vanishing index whereas the *degree* of the family does not vanish. This implies there is no function $\det(D_g)$ with the 3 properties just listed. Suppose in fact such a $\det(D_g)$ exists. Then (1) and (2) imply that $h(x) \equiv \det(D_{g_x})$ is a differentiable complex-valued function on X . Moreover, (3) implies that ν is the number of zeros of h counted with multiplicities and signs, i.e. that ν is the degree of $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. But topological arguments may be used to show that the degree of h has to vanish, hence $\nu = 0$, contradicting the assumption [3].

We should now bear in mind that the local multiplicities ν_i at points x_i where D_x is not invertible, are defined as local phase variations obtained by using an eigenvalue cut-off. Remarkably, if it were possible to use such a cut-off consistently at all points, the total phase variation ν would have to vanish. Thus, a fibration with non-vanishing degree implies a behaviour of the eigenvalues which prevents a good cut-off regularization. Such a remark is not of purely academic interest, since two-dimensional examples can be found,

involving surfaces M and X of fairly high genus, where all these things happen. What is essentially involved is the variation in the conformal structure of M [3].

Other examples of non-vanishing degree, relevant for gauge theory, are obtained when M is the $2n$ -sphere S^{2n} , $X = S^2$ and the vector bundle V is $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, so that the gauge group G is $U(n + 1)$ or $SU(n + 1)$. If one represents S^2 as $R^2 \cup \infty$ then removing the point at infinity, one obtains a two-parameter family of gauge fields on M parametrized by R^2 . Since this family is defined by a bundle over $M \times S^2$, gauge fields are all gauge-equivalent as one goes to ∞ in R^2 . What are we really up to ? By taking a large circle in R^2 , one gets a closed path in the group $\mathcal{G}$ of gauge transformations of the bundle on M . The degree ν is essentially a homomorphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow Z$, and if ν does not vanish, the variation in phase of $\det(D_g)$ going round this path in $\mathcal{G}$ proves the lack of gauge-invariance. For $M = S^{2n}$ one has

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{G}) = \pi_{2n+1}(G) \quad , \quad (L2.6.1)$$

$$\pi_{2n+1}(SU(n + 1)) = Z \quad , \quad (L2.6.2)$$

and the isomorphism is given by ν , by virtue of the general index theorem for families [3].

LECTURE 3.

L3.1 Spectral Asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry

If X is a closed, oriented, four-dimensional Riemannian manifold, in addition to the Gauss-Bonnet formula there is another formula which relates cohomological invariants with curvature. In fact, it is known that the signature (i.e. number of positive eigenvalues minus number of negative eigenvalues) of the quadratic form on $H^2(X; R)$ given by the cup-product is expressed by

$$\text{sign}(X) = \frac{1}{3} \int_X p_1 \quad . \quad (L3.1.1)$$

In (L3.1.1), p_1 is the differential four-form which represents the first Pontrjagin class [1-2,4], and is equal to $(2\pi)^{-2} \text{Tr}(R^2)$, where R is the curvature matrix. However, (L3.1.1) does not hold in general for manifolds with boundary, so that one has

$$\text{sign}(X) - \frac{1}{3} \int_X p_1 = f(Y) \neq 0 \quad , \quad (L3.1.2)$$

where $Y \equiv \partial X$. Thus, if X' is another manifold with the same boundary, i.e. such that $Y = \partial X'$, one has

$$\text{sign}(X) - \frac{1}{3} \int_X p_1 = \text{sign}(X') - \frac{1}{3} \int_{X'} p'_1 \quad . \quad (L3.1.3)$$

Hence one is looking for a continuous function f of the metric on Y such that $f(-Y) = -f(Y)$. Atiyah et al. [1-2] were able to prove that $f(Y)$ is a spectral invariant, evaluated as follows. One looks at the Laplace operator Δ acting on forms as well as on scalar functions.

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

This operator Δ is the square of the self-adjoint first-order operator $B \equiv \pm(d * - * d)$, where d is the exterior-derivative operator, and $*$ is the Hodge-star operator mapping p -forms to $(l - p)$ -forms in l dimensions. Thus, if λ is an eigenvalue of B , the eigenvalues of Δ are of the form λ^2 . However, the eigenvalues of B can be both negative and positive. One takes this property into account by defining the η -function

$$\eta(s) \equiv \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} d(\lambda) (\text{sign}(\lambda)) |\lambda|^{-s} \quad , \quad (L3.1.4)$$

where $d(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ . Note that, since B involves the $*$ operator, in reversing the orientation of the boundary Y we change B into $-B$, and hence $\eta(s)$ into $-\eta(s)$. The main result of Atiyah et al., in its simplest form, states therefore that [1-2,4]

$$f(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \eta(0) \quad . \quad (L3.1.5)$$

Now, for a manifold X with boundary Y , if one tries to set up an elliptic boundary-value problem for the signature operator of Lecture 1, one finds there is no local boundary condition for this operator. For global boundary conditions, however, expressed by the vanishing of a given integral evaluated on Y , one has a good elliptic theory and a finite index. Thus, one has to consider the theorem expressed by (L3.1.5) within the framework of index theorems for global boundary conditions. Atiyah et al. [1-2] were also able to derive the relation between the index of the Dirac operator on X with a global boundary condition and $\eta(0)$, where η is the η -function of the Dirac operator on Y .

L3.2 $\eta(0)$ Calculation

A non-trivial result is regularity at the origin of the η -function defined in (L3.1.4) for first-order elliptic operators. Following Atiyah et al. [1-2] we now evaluate $\eta(0)$ in a specific example.

Let Y be a closed manifold, E a vector bundle over Y and $A : C^\infty(Y, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y, E)$ a self-adjoint, elliptic, first-order differential operator. By virtue of this hypothesis, A has a discrete spectrum with real eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions ϕ_λ . Let P denote the projection of $C^\infty(Y, E)$ onto the space spanned by the ϕ_λ for $\lambda \geq 0$. We now form the product $Y \times R^+$ of Y with the half-line $u \geq 0$ and consider the operator

$$D \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + A \quad , \quad (L3.2.1)$$

acting on sections $f(y, u)$ of E lifted to $Y \times R^+$ (still denoted by E). Clearly D is elliptic and its *formal* adjoint is

$$D^* \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + A \quad . \quad (L3.2.2)$$

The following boundary condition is imposed for D :

$$Pf(\cdot, 0) = 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.3)$$

This is a *global* condition for the boundary value $f(\cdot, 0)$ in that it is equivalent to

$$\int_Y \left(f(y, 0), \phi_\lambda(y) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.4)$$

Of course, the adjoint boundary condition to (L3.2.3) is

$$(1 - P)f(\cdot, 0) = 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.5)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

The naturally occurring second-order self-adjoint operators obtained out of D are

$$\Delta_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D} \quad , \quad (L3.2.6)$$

$$\Delta_2 \equiv \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^* \quad , \quad (L3.2.7)$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the closure of the operator D on L^2 with domain given by (L3.2.3). For $t > 0$, we can then consider the bounded operators $e^{-t\Delta_1}$ and $e^{-t\Delta_2}$. The explicit kernels of these operators will be given in terms of the eigenfunctions ϕ_λ of A . For this purpose, consider first Δ_1 , i.e. the operator given by

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + A^2$$

with the boundary condition

$$Pf(\cdot, 0) = 0 \quad , \quad (L3.2.8a)$$

and

$$(1 - P) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + Af \right)_{u=0} = 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.8b)$$

Expansion in terms of the ϕ_λ , so that $f(y, u) = \sum f_\lambda(u) \phi_\lambda(y)$, shows that for each λ one has to study the operator

$$-\frac{d^2}{du^2} + \lambda^2$$

on $u \geq 0$ with the boundary conditions

$$f_\lambda(0) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda \geq 0 \quad , \quad (L3.2.9)$$

$$\left(\frac{df_\lambda}{du} + \lambda f_\lambda \right)_{u=0} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda < 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.10)$$

The fundamental solution for

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \lambda^2$$

with the boundary condition (L3.2.9) is found to be

$$w_A = \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-(u-v)^2}{4t}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-(u+v)^2}{4t}\right) \right] , \quad (L3.2.11)$$

while for the boundary condition (L3.2.10), Laplace-transform methods yield (Carslaw and Jaeger 1959)

$$w_B = \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-(u-v)^2}{4t}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-(u+v)^2}{4t}\right) \right] + \lambda e^{-\lambda(u+v)} \operatorname{erfc} \left[\frac{(u+v)}{2\sqrt{t}} - \lambda\sqrt{t} \right] , \quad (L3.2.12)$$

where erfc is the (complementary) error function defined by

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-\xi^2} d\xi \quad . \quad (L3.2.13)$$

Thus, the kernel K_1 of $e^{-t\Delta_1}$ at a point $(t; y, u; z, v)$ is obtained as

$$K_1(t; y, u; z, v) = \sum_{\lambda} w_A \phi_\lambda(y) \overline{\phi_\lambda(z)} \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 0 \quad , \quad (L3.2.14)$$

$$K_1(t; y, u; z, v) = \sum_{\lambda} w_B \phi_\lambda(y) \overline{\phi_\lambda(z)} \quad \text{if } \lambda < 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.15)$$

For the operator Δ_2 , the boundary conditions for each λ are

$$f_\lambda(0) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda < 0 \quad , \quad (L3.2.16)$$

$$\left(-\frac{df_\lambda}{du} + \lambda f_\lambda \right)_{u=0} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda \geq 0 \quad . \quad (L3.2.17)$$

The fundamental solution for

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \lambda^2$$

subject to (L3.2.16) is $\tilde{w}_A = w_A$, while for the boundary conditions (L3.2.17) one finds $\tilde{w}_B = w_B(-\lambda)$. Moreover, by virtue of the inequality

$$\int_x^\infty e^{-\xi^2} d\xi < e^{-x^2} \quad , \quad (L3.2.18)$$

w_A and w_B are both bounded by

$$F_\lambda(t; u, v) \equiv \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \frac{2 |\lambda|}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2 t} \right] \exp \left(\frac{-(u-v)^2}{4t} \right) \quad . \quad (L3.2.19)$$

If one now multiplies and divides by $\sqrt{t}$ the second term in square brackets in (L3.2.19), application of the inequality

$$x \leq e^{x^2/2} \quad , \quad (L3.2.20)$$

to the resulting term $|\lambda| \sqrt{t}$ shows that the kernel $K_1(t; y, u; z, v)$ of $e^{-t\Delta_1}$ is bounded by

$$G(t; y, u; z, v) \equiv \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left[\sum_\lambda e^{-\lambda^2 t/2} \left(|\phi_\lambda(y)|^2 + |\phi_\lambda(z)|^2 \right) \right] \exp \left(\frac{-(u-v)^2}{4t} \right) \quad . \quad (L3.2.21)$$

Moreover, since the kernel of $e^{-t\Delta_2}$ on the diagonal of $Y \times Y$ is bounded by $Ct^{-n/2}$, one finds that the kernels of $e^{-t\Delta_1}$ and $e^{-t\Delta_2}$ are exponentially small in t as $t \rightarrow 0$ for $u \neq v$, in that they are bounded by

$$C t^{-(n+1)/2} \exp\left(\frac{-(u-v)^2}{4t}\right) ,$$

where C is some constant, as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, the contribution outside the diagonal is asymptotically negligible, so that we are mainly interested in the contribution from the diagonal. For this purpose, we study $K(t, y, u)$, i.e. the kernel of $e^{-t\Delta_1} - e^{-t\Delta_2}$ at the point $(y, u; y, u)$ of $(Y \times R^+) \times (Y \times R^+)$. Defining $\text{sign}(\lambda) \equiv +1 \ \forall \lambda \geq 0$, $\text{sign}(\lambda) \equiv -1 \ \forall \lambda < 0$, one thus finds

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, y, u) &\equiv \left[w_A(t; y, u; y, u) - \tilde{w}_B(t; y, u; y, u) \right] + \left[w_B(t; y, u; y, u) - \tilde{w}_A(t; y, u; y, u) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} |\phi_\lambda(y)|^2 \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(1 - e^{-u^2/t} \right) - \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(1 + e^{-u^2/t} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (-\lambda) e^{2\lambda u} \text{erfc} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}} + \lambda \sqrt{t} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lambda < 0} |\phi_\lambda(y)|^2 \left[\frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(1 + e^{-u^2/t} \right) + \lambda e^{-2\lambda u} \text{erfc} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}} - \lambda \sqrt{t} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(1 - e^{-u^2/t} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} |\phi_\lambda(y)|^2 \text{sign}(\lambda) \left[-\frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t} e^{-u^2/t}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + |\lambda| e^{2|\lambda|u} \text{erfc} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}} + |\lambda| \sqrt{t} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} |\phi_\lambda(y)|^2 \text{sign}(\lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[\frac{1}{2} e^{2|\lambda|u} \text{erfc} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}} + |\lambda| \sqrt{t} \right) \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{L3.2.22}$$

Thus, integration on $Y \times R^+$ and elementary rules for taking limits yield

$$K(t) \equiv \int_0^\infty \int_Y K(t, y, u) dy du = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_\lambda \text{sign}(\lambda) \text{erfc}(|\lambda| \sqrt{t}) \quad , \quad (L3.2.23)$$

which implies (on differentiating the error function and using the signature of eigenvalues)

$$K'(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \sum_\lambda \lambda e^{-\lambda^2 t} \quad . \quad (L3.2.24)$$

It is now necessary to derive the limiting behaviour of the (integrated) kernel (L3.2.23) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and as $t \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, denoting by h the degeneracy of the zero-eigenvalue, one finds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K(t) = -\frac{h}{2} \quad , \quad (L3.2.25)$$

whereas, as $t \rightarrow 0$, the following bound holds:

$$|K(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_\lambda \text{erfc}(|\lambda| \sqrt{t}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_\lambda e^{-\lambda^2 t} < C t^{-n/2} \quad , \quad (L3.2.26)$$

where C is a constant. Moreover, the result (L3.2.25) may be supplemented by saying that $K(t) + \frac{h}{2}$ tends to 0 exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, combining (L3.2.25)-(L3.2.26) and this property, one finds that, for $\text{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large, the integral

$$I(s) \equiv \int_0^\infty \left(K(t) + \frac{h}{2} \right) t^{s-1} dt \quad , \quad (L3.2.27)$$

converges. Integration by parts, definition of the Γ function

$$\Gamma(z) \equiv \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt = k^z \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-kt} dt \quad , \quad (L3.2.28)$$

and careful consideration of positive and negative eigenvalues with their signatures then lead to

$$I(s) = -\frac{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2s\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\text{sign}(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^{2s}} = -\frac{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2s\sqrt{\pi}} \eta(2s) \quad . \quad (L3.2.29)$$

The following analysis relies entirely on the *assumption* that an asymptotic expansion of the integrated kernel $K(t)$ exists as $t \rightarrow 0$ [1-2]. By writing such an expansion in the form

$$K(t) \sim \sum_{k \geq -n} a_k t^{k/2} \quad , \quad (L3.2.30)$$

equations (L3.2.27)-(L3.2.30) yield (on splitting the integral (L3.2.27) into an integral from 0 to 1 plus an integral from 1 to ∞)

$$\eta(2s) \sim -\frac{2s\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{h}{2s} + \sum_{k=-n}^N \frac{a_k}{\left(\frac{k}{2} + s\right)} + \theta_N(s) \right] \quad . \quad (L3.2.31)$$

This is the analytic continuation of $\eta(2s)$ to the whole s -plane. Hence one finds

$$\eta(0) = -(2a_0 + h) \quad . \quad (L3.2.32)$$

Remarkably, regularity at the origin of the η - and ζ -functions results from the existence of the asymptotic expansion of the integrated kernel as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ [1-2,4].

Further References

- [1] Carslaw H. S. and Jaeger J. C. (1959) *Conduction of Heat in Solids* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).

LECTURE 4.

L4.1 Two-Component Spinor Calculus

Two-component spinor calculus is a powerful tool for studying classical field theories in four-dimensional space-time models. Within this framework, the basic object is spin-space, a two-dimensional complex vector space S with a symplectic form ϵ , i.e. an antisymmetric complex bilinear form. Unprimed spinor indices $A, B, \dots$ take the values $0, 1$ whereas primed spinor indices $A', B', \dots$ take the values $0', 1'$, since there are actually two such spaces: unprimed spin-space (S, ϵ) and primed spin-space (S', ϵ') . The whole two-spinor calculus in *Lorentzian* four-manifolds relies on three fundamental isomorphisms:

(i) The isomorphism between (S, ϵ_{AB}) and its dual (S^*, ϵ^{AB}) . This is provided by the symplectic form ϵ , which raises and lowers indices according to the rules

$$\epsilon^{AB} \varphi_B = \varphi^A \in S \quad , \quad (L4.1.1)$$

$$\varphi^B \epsilon_{BA} = \varphi_A \in S^* \quad . \quad (L4.1.2)$$

Thus, since

$$\epsilon_{AB} = \epsilon^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (L4.1.3)$$

one finds in components $\varphi^0 = \varphi_1, \varphi^1 = -\varphi_0$.

Similarly, one has the isomorphism $(S', \epsilon_{A'B'}) \cong ((S')^*, \epsilon^{A'B'})$, which implies

$$\epsilon^{A'B'} \varphi_{B'} = \varphi^{A'} \in S' \quad , \quad (L4.1.4)$$

$$\varphi^{B'} \epsilon_{B'A'} = \varphi_{A'} \in (S')^* \quad , \quad (L4.1.5)$$

where

$$\epsilon_{A'B'} = \epsilon^{A'B'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0' & 1' \\ -1' & 0' \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (L4.1.6)$$

(ii) The (anti)-isomorphism between (S, ϵ_{AB}) and $(S', \epsilon_{A'B'})$, called complex conjugation, and denoted by an overbar. According to a standard convention, one has

$$\overline{\psi^A} \equiv \overline{\psi}^{A'} \in S' \quad , \quad (L4.1.7)$$

$$\overline{\psi^{A'}} \equiv \overline{\psi}^A \in S \quad . \quad (L4.1.8)$$

In components, if w^A is thought as $w^A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$, the action of (L4.1.7) leads to

$$\overline{w^A} \equiv \overline{w}^{A'} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha} \\ \overline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (L4.1.9)$$

whereas, if $z^{A'} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$, then (L4.1.8) leads to

$$\overline{z^{A'}} \equiv \overline{z}^A = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\gamma} \\ \overline{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (L4.1.10)$$

With our notation, $\overline{\alpha}$ denotes complex conjugation of the function α , and so on. Note that the symplectic structure is preserved by complex conjugation, since $\overline{\epsilon}_{A'B'} = \epsilon_{A'B'}$.

(iii) The isomorphism between the tangent space T at a point of space-time and the tensor product of the unprimed spin-space (S, ϵ_{AB}) and the primed spin-space $(S', \epsilon_{A'B'})$:

$$T \cong (S, \epsilon_{AB}) \otimes (S', \epsilon_{A'B'}) \quad . \quad (L4.1.11)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

The Infeld-van der Waerden symbols $\sigma^a_{AA'}$ and $\sigma_a^{AA'}$ express this isomorphism, and the correspondence between a vector v^a and a spinor $v^{AA'}$ is given by

$$v^{AA'} \equiv v^a \sigma_a^{AA'} \quad , \quad (L4.1.12)$$

$$v^a \equiv v^{AA'} \sigma^a_{AA'} \quad . \quad (L4.1.13)$$

These mixed spinor-tensor symbols obey the identities

$$\bar{\sigma}_a^{AA'} = \sigma_a^{AA'} \quad , \quad (L4.1.14)$$

$$\sigma_a^{AA'} \sigma^b_{AA'} = \delta_a^b \quad , \quad (L4.1.15)$$

$$\sigma_a^{AA'} \sigma^a_{BB'} = \epsilon_B^A \epsilon_{B'}^{A'} \quad , \quad (L4.1.16)$$

$$\sigma_{[a}^{AA'} \sigma_{b]A}^{B'} = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} \sigma^{cAA'} \sigma^d_{A}{}^{B'} \quad . \quad (L4.1.17)$$

Similarly, a one-form ω_a has a spinor equivalent

$$\omega_{AA'} \equiv \omega_a \sigma^a_{AA'} \quad , \quad (L4.1.18)$$

whereas the spinor equivalent of the metric is

$$\eta_{ab} \sigma^a_{AA'} \sigma^b_{BB'} \equiv \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{A'B'} \quad . \quad (L4.1.19)$$

In particular, in Minkowski space-time Eqs. (L4.1.12) and (L4.1.17) enable one to write down a coordinate system in 2×2 matrix form

$$x^{AA'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & x^0 - x^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (L4.1.20)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

In the Lorentzian-signature case, the Maxwell curvature two-form $F \equiv F_{ab} dx^a \wedge dx^b$ can be written spinorially as

$$F_{AA'BB'} = \frac{1}{2} \left(F_{AA'BB'} - F_{BB'AA'} \right) = \varphi_{AB} \epsilon_{A'B'} + \varphi_{A'B'} \epsilon_{AB} \quad , \quad (L4.1.21)$$

where

$$\varphi_{AB} \equiv \frac{1}{2} F_{AC'B}{}^{C'} = \varphi_{(AB)} \quad , \quad (L4.1.22)$$

$$\varphi_{A'B'} \equiv \frac{1}{2} F_{CB'}{}^C{}_{A'} = \varphi_{(A'B')} \quad . \quad (L4.1.23)$$

These formulae are obtained by applying the identity

$$T_{AB} - T_{BA} = \epsilon_{AB} T_C{}^C \quad (L4.1.24)$$

to express $\frac{1}{2} \left(F_{AA'BB'} - F_{AB'BA'} \right)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \left(F_{AB'BA'} - F_{BB'AA'} \right)$. Note also that round brackets (AB) denote (as usual) symmetrization over the spinor indices A and B , and that the antisymmetric part of φ_{AB} vanishes by virtue of the antisymmetry of F_{ab} , since $\varphi_{[AB]} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{AB} F_{CC'}{}^{CC'} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} \eta^{cd} F_{cd} = 0$. Last but not least, in the Lorentzian case

$$\overline{\varphi_{AB}} \equiv \overline{\varphi}_{A'B'} = \varphi_{A'B'} \quad . \quad (L4.1.25)$$

The symmetric spinor fields φ_{AB} and $\varphi_{A'B'}$ are the anti-self-dual and self-dual parts of the curvature two-form, respectively.

Similarly, the Weyl curvature $C^a{}_{bcd}$, i.e. the part of the Riemann curvature tensor invariant under conformal rescalings of the metric, may be expressed spinorially, omitting soldering forms (see below) for simplicity of notation, as

$$C_{abcd} = \psi_{ABCD} \epsilon_{A'B'} \epsilon_{C'D'} + \overline{\psi}_{A'B'C'D'} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} \quad . \quad (L4.1.26)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

In canonical gravity two-component spinors lead to a considerable simplification of calculations. Denoting by n^μ the future-pointing unit timelike normal to a spacelike three-surface, its spinor version obeys the relations

$$n_{AA'} e^{AA'}_i = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.1.27)$$

$$n_{AA'} n^{AA'} = 1 \quad , \quad (L4.1.28)$$

where $e^{AA'}_\mu \equiv e^a_\mu \sigma_a^{AA'}$ is the two-spinor version of the tetrad, often referred to in the literature as soldering form. Denoting by h the induced Riemannian metric on the three-surface, other useful relations are

$$h_{ij} = -e_{AA'i} e^{AA'}_j \quad , \quad (L4.1.29)$$

$$e^{AA'}_0 = N n^{AA'} + N^i e^{AA'}_i \quad , \quad (L4.1.30)$$

$$n_{AA'} n^{BA'} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_A^B \quad , \quad (L4.1.31)$$

$$n_{AA'} n^{AB'} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{A'}^{B'} \quad , \quad (L4.1.32)$$

$$n_{[EB'} n_{A]A'} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{EA} \epsilon_{B'A'} \quad , \quad (L4.1.33)$$

$$e_{AA'j} e^{AB'}_k = -\frac{1}{2} h_{jk} \epsilon_{A'}^{B'} - i \epsilon_{jkl} \sqrt{\det h} n_{AA'} e^{AB'l} \quad . \quad (L4.1.34)$$

In Eq. (L4.1.30), N and N^i are the lapse and shift functions respectively [4].

The space-time curvature is obtained after defining the spinor covariant derivative $\nabla_a = \nabla_{AA'}$. If θ, ϕ, ψ are spinor fields, $\nabla_{AA'}$ is a map such that

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

(1) $\nabla_{AA'}(\theta + \phi) = \nabla_{AA'}\theta + \nabla_{AA'}\phi$ (i.e. linearity).

(2) $\nabla_{AA'}(\theta\psi) = (\nabla_{AA'}\theta)\psi + \theta(\nabla_{AA'}\psi)$ (i.e. Leibniz rule).

(3) $\psi = \nabla_{AA'}\theta$ implies $\bar{\psi} = \nabla_{AA'}\bar{\theta}$ (i.e. reality condition).

(4) $\nabla_{AA'}\epsilon_{BC} = \nabla_{AA'}\epsilon^{BC} = 0$, i.e. the symplectic form may be used to raise or lower indices within spinor expressions acted upon by $\nabla_{AA'}$, in addition to the usual metricity condition $\nabla g = 0$, which involves instead the product of two ϵ -symbols.

(5) $\nabla_{AA'}$ commutes with any index substitution not involving A, A' .

(6) For any function f , one finds $(\nabla_a \nabla_b - \nabla_b \nabla_a)f = 2S_{ab}{}^c \nabla_c f$, where $S_{ab}{}^c$ is the torsion tensor.

(7) For any derivation D acting on spinor fields, a spinor field $\xi^{AA'}$ exists such that $D\psi = \xi^{AA'} \nabla_{AA'}\psi, \forall \psi$.

Such a spinor covariant derivative exists and is unique.

If Lorentzian space-time is replaced by a complex or real Riemannian four-manifold, an important modification should be made, since the (anti)-isomorphism between unprimed and primed spin-space no longer exists. This means that primed spinors can no longer be regarded as complex conjugates of unprimed spinors, or viceversa, as in (L4.1.7)-(L4.1.8). In particular, Eqs. (L4.1.21) and (L4.1.26) should be re-written as

$$F_{AA'BB'} = \varphi_{AB} \epsilon_{A'B'} + \tilde{\varphi}_{A'B'} \epsilon_{AB} \quad , \quad (L4.1.35)$$

$$C_{abcd} = \psi_{ABCD} \epsilon_{A'B'} \epsilon_{C'D'} + \tilde{\psi}_{A'B'C'D'} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} \quad . \quad (L4.1.36)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

With our notation, $\varphi_{AB}, \tilde{\varphi}_{A'B'}$, as well as $\psi_{ABCD}, \tilde{\psi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ are *completely independent* symmetric spinor fields, not related by any conjugation.

Indeed, a conjugation can still be defined in the real Riemannian case, but it no longer relates (S, ϵ_{AB}) and $(S', \epsilon_{A'B'})$. It is instead an anti-involutory operation which maps elements of a spin-space (either unprimed or primed) to elements of the *same* spin-space. By anti-involutory we mean that, when applied twice to a spinor with an odd number of indices, it yields the same spinor with the opposite sign, i.e. its square is minus the identity, whereas the square of complex conjugation as defined in (L4.1.9)-(L4.1.10) equals the identity. Euclidean conjugation, denoted by a *dagger*, is defined as follows.

$$(w^A)^\dagger \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\beta} \\ -\bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (L4.1.37)$$

$$(z^{A'})^\dagger \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\delta} \\ \bar{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (L4.1.38)$$

This means that, in flat Euclidean four-space, a unit 2×2 matrix $\delta_{BA'}$ exists such that

$$(w^A)^\dagger \equiv \epsilon^{AB} \delta_{BA'} \bar{w}^{A'} \quad . \quad (L4.1.39)$$

We are here using the freedom to regard w^A either as an $SL(2, C)$ spinor for which complex conjugation can be defined, or as an $SU(2)$ spinor for which Euclidean conjugation is instead available. The soldering forms for $SU(2)$ spinors only involve spinor indices of the same spin-space, i.e. $\tilde{e}_i^{AB}$ and $\tilde{e}_i^{A'B'}$. More precisely, denoting by E_a^i a real *triad*, where $i = 1, 2, 3$, and by $\tau_A^a{}^B$ the three Pauli matrices obeying the identity

$$\tau_A^a{}^B \tau_B^b{}^D = i \epsilon^{abc} \tau_{cA}{}^D + \delta^{ab} \delta_A^D \quad , \quad (L4.1.40)$$

the $SU(2)$ soldering forms are defined by

$$\tilde{e}^j{}_A{}^B \equiv -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} E_a^j \tau^a{}_A{}^B \quad . \quad (L4.1.41)$$

The soldering form in (L4.1.41) provides an isomorphism between three-real-dimensional tangent space at each point of Σ , and the three-real-dimensional vector space of 2×2 trace-free Hermitian matrices. The Riemannian three-metric on Σ is then given by

$$h^{ij} = -\tilde{e}^i{}_A{}^B \tilde{e}^j{}_B{}^A \quad . \quad (L4.1.42)$$

L4.2 Dirac and Weyl Equations in Two-Component Spinor Form

Dirac's theory of massive and massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles is still a key element of modern particle physics and field theory. From the point of view of theoretical physics, the description of such particles motivates indeed the whole theory of Dirac operators. We are here concerned with a two-component spinor analysis of the corresponding spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields in Riemannian four-geometries (M, g) with boundary. A massive spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Dirac field is then described by the four independent spinor fields $\phi^A, \chi^A, \tilde{\phi}^{A'}, \tilde{\chi}^{A'}$, and the action functional takes the form

$$I \equiv I_V + I_B \quad , \quad (L4.2.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_V \equiv & \frac{i}{2} \int_M \left[\tilde{\phi}^{A'} \left(\nabla_{AA'} \phi^A \right) - \left(\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right) \phi^A \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x \\ & + \frac{i}{2} \int_M \left[\tilde{\chi}^{A'} \left(\nabla_{AA'} \chi^A \right) - \left(\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\chi}^{A'} \right) \chi^A \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x \\ & + \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \int_M \left[\chi_A \phi^A + \tilde{\phi}^{A'} \tilde{\chi}_{A'} \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (L4.2.2)$$

and I_B is a suitable boundary term, necessary to obtain a well-posed variational problem. Its form is determined once one knows which spinor fields are fixed on the boundary (e.g. section L4.3). With our notation, the occurrence of i depends on conventions for Infeld-van der Waerden symbols (see section L4.3). One thus finds the field equations

$$\nabla_{AA'}\phi^A = \frac{im}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\chi}_{A'} \quad , \quad (L4.2.3)$$

$$\nabla_{AA'}\chi^A = \frac{im}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\phi}_{A'} \quad , \quad (L4.2.4)$$

$$\nabla_{AA'}\tilde{\phi}^{A'} = -\frac{im}{\sqrt{2}} \chi_A \quad , \quad (L4.2.5)$$

$$\nabla_{AA'}\tilde{\chi}^{A'} = -\frac{im}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_A \quad . \quad (L4.2.6)$$

Note that this is a coupled system of first-order differential equations, obtained after applying differentiation rules for anti-commuting spinor fields. This means the spinor field acted upon by the $\nabla_{AA'}$ operator should be always brought to the left, hence leading to a minus sign if such a field was not already on the left. Integration by parts and careful use of boundary terms are also necessary. The equations (L4.2.3)-(L4.2.6) reproduce the familiar form of the Dirac equation expressed in terms of γ -matrices. In particular, for massless fermionic fields one obtains the independent Weyl equations

$$\nabla^{AA'}\phi_A = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.2.7)$$

$$\nabla^{AA'}\tilde{\phi}_{A'} = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.2.8)$$

not related by any conjugation.

Explicit mode-by-mode solutions of these equations with spectral boundary conditions on a three-sphere are studied in the section **Problems for Students** at the end of these Lecture Notes, as well as the tensor equivalent of Eqs. (L4.2.3)-(L4.2.8).

L4.3 New Variational Problems for Massless Fermionic Fields

Locally supersymmetric boundary conditions have been recently studied in quantum gravity to understand its one-loop properties in the presence of boundaries. They involve the normal to the boundary and the field for spin $\frac{1}{2}$, the normal to the boundary and the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ potential for gravitinos, Dirichlet conditions for real scalar fields, magnetic or electric field for electromagnetism, mixed boundary conditions for the four-metric of the gravitational field (and in particular Dirichlet conditions on the perturbed three-metric). The aim of this section is to describe the corresponding classical properties in the case of massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields.

For this purpose, we consider flat Euclidean four-space bounded by a three-sphere of radius a . The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field, represented by a pair of independent spinor fields ψ^A and $\tilde{\psi}^{A'}$, is expanded on a family of three-spheres centred on the origin as

$$\psi^A = \frac{\tau^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{q=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \alpha_n^{pq} \left[m_{np}(\tau) \rho^{nqA} + \tilde{r}_{np}(\tau) \bar{\sigma}^{nqA} \right] , \quad (L4.3.1)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{A'} = \frac{\tau^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{q=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \alpha_n^{pq} \left[\tilde{m}_{np}(\tau) \bar{\rho}^{nqA'} + r_{np}(\tau) \sigma^{nqA'} \right] . \quad (L4.3.2)$$

With our notation, τ is the Euclidean-time coordinate, the α_n^{pq} are block-diagonal matrices with blocks $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, the ρ - and σ -harmonics obey the identities described in [4]. Last

but not least, the modes m_{np} and r_{np} are regular at $\tau = 0$, whereas the modes $\tilde{m}_{np}$ and $\tilde{r}_{np}$ are singular at $\tau = 0$ if the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field is massless. Bearing in mind that the harmonics ρ^{nqA} and $\sigma^{nqA'}$ have positive eigenvalues $\frac{1}{2}\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)$ for the three-dimensional Dirac operator on the bounding S^3 [4], the decomposition (L4.3.1)-(L4.3.2) can be re-expressed as

$$\psi^A = \psi_{(+)}^A + \psi_{(-)}^A \quad , \quad (L4.3.3)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{A'} = \tilde{\psi}_{(+)}^{A'} + \tilde{\psi}_{(-)}^{A'} \quad . \quad (L4.3.4)$$

In (L4.3.3)-(L4.3.4), the (+) parts correspond to the modes m_{np} and r_{np} , whereas the (−) parts correspond to the singular modes $\tilde{m}_{np}$ and $\tilde{r}_{np}$, which multiply harmonics having negative eigenvalues $-\frac{1}{2}\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)$ for the three-dimensional Dirac operator on S^3 . If one wants to find a classical solution of the Weyl equation which is regular $\forall \tau \in [0, a]$, one is thus forced to set to zero the modes $\tilde{m}_{np}$ and $\tilde{r}_{np} \forall \tau \in [0, a]$ [4]. This is why, if one requires the local boundary conditions [4]

$$\sqrt{2} \, {}_e n_A^{A'} \, \psi^A \mp \tilde{\psi}^{A'} = \Phi^{A'} \text{ on } S^3 \quad , \quad (L4.3.5)$$

such a condition can be expressed as [4]

$$\sqrt{2} \, {}_e n_A^{A'} \, \psi_{(+)}^A = \Phi_1^{A'} \text{ on } S^3 \quad , \quad (L4.3.6)$$

$$\mp \tilde{\psi}_{(+)}^{A'} = \Phi_2^{A'} \text{ on } S^3 \quad , \quad (L4.3.7)$$

where $\Phi_1^{A'}$ and $\Phi_2^{A'}$ are the parts of the spinor field $\Phi^{A'}$ related to the $\bar{\rho}$ - and σ -harmonics respectively. In particular, if $\Phi_1^{A'} = \Phi_2^{A'} = 0$ on S^3 as in [4], one finds

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{q=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \alpha_n^{pq} m_{np}(a) \epsilon n_A^{A'} \rho_{nq}^A = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.3.8)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{q=1}^{(n+1)(n+2)} \alpha_n^{pq} r_{np}(a) \sigma_{nq}^{A'} = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.3.9)$$

where a is the three-sphere radius. Since the harmonics appearing in (L4.3.8)-(L4.3.9) are linearly independent, these relations lead to $m_{np}(a) = r_{np}(a) = 0 \ \forall n, p$. Remarkably, this simple calculation shows that the classical boundary-value problems for regular solutions of the Weyl equation subject to local or spectral conditions on S^3 share the same property provided $\Phi^{A'}$ is set to zero in (L4.3.5): the regular modes m_{np} and r_{np} should vanish on the bounding S^3 .

To study the corresponding variational problem for a massless fermionic field, we should now bear in mind that the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ action functional in a Riemannian 4-geometry takes the form (cf. (L4.2.2))

$$I_E = \frac{i}{2} \int_M \left[\tilde{\psi}^{A'} \left(\nabla_{AA'} \psi^A \right) - \left(\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'} \right) \psi^A \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x + \hat{I}_B \quad . \quad (L4.3.10)$$

This action is *real*, and the factor i occurs by virtue of the convention for Infeld-van der Waerden symbols used in [4]. In (L4.3.10) $\hat{I}_B$ is a suitable boundary term, to be added to ensure that I_E is stationary under the boundary conditions chosen at the various components of the boundary (e.g. initial and final surfaces). Of course, the variation δI_E

of I_E is linear in the variations $\delta\psi^A$ and $\delta\tilde{\psi}^{A'}$. Defining $\kappa \equiv \frac{2}{i}$ and $\kappa\hat{I}_B \equiv I_B$, variational rules for anticommuting spinor fields lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\delta I_E) &= \int_M \left[2\delta\tilde{\psi}^{A'} (\nabla_{AA'} \psi^A) \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x - \int_M \left[(\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'}) 2\delta\psi^A \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial M} \left[{}_e n_{AA'} (\delta\tilde{\psi}^{A'}) \psi^A \right] \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x + \int_{\partial M} \left[{}_e n_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'} (\delta\psi^A) \right] \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \\ &\quad + \delta I_B \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{L4.3.11}$$

where I_B should be chosen in such a way that its variation δI_B combines with the sum of the two terms on the second line of (L4.3.11) so as to specify what is fixed on the boundary (see below). Indeed, setting $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and using the boundary conditions (L4.3.5) one finds

$${}_e n_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'} = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_A - \epsilon {}_e n_{AA'} \Phi^{A'} \text{ on } S^3 \quad . \tag{L4.3.12}$$

Thus, anticommutation rules for spinor fields [4] show that the second line of equation (L4.3.11) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I_{\partial M} &\equiv - \int_{\partial M} \left[(\delta\tilde{\psi}^{A'}) {}_e n_{AA'} \psi^A \right] \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x + \int_{\partial M} \left[{}_e n_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'} (\delta\psi^A) \right] \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \\ &= \epsilon \int_{\partial M} {}_e n_{AA'} \left[(\delta\Phi^{A'}) \psi^A - \Phi^{A'} (\delta\psi^A) \right] \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{L4.3.13}$$

Now it is clear that setting

$$I_B \equiv \epsilon \int_{\partial M} \Phi^{A'} {}_e n_{AA'} \psi^A \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \quad , \tag{L4.3.14}$$

enables one to specify $\Phi^{A'}$ on the boundary, since

$$\delta \left[I_{\partial M} + I_B \right] = 2\epsilon \int_{\partial M} {}_e n_{AA'} (\delta\Phi^{A'}) \psi^A \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \quad . \tag{L4.3.15}$$

Hence the action integral (L4.3.10) appropriate for our boundary-value problem is

$$I_E = \frac{i}{2} \int_M \left[\tilde{\psi}^{A'} \left(\nabla_{AA'} \psi^A \right) - \left(\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'} \right) \psi^A \right] \sqrt{\det g} \, d^4x$$

$$+ \frac{i\epsilon}{2} \int_{\partial M} \Phi^{A'} {}_e n_{AA'} \psi^A \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \quad . \quad (L4.3.16)$$

Note that, by virtue of (L4.3.5), equation (L4.3.13) may also be cast in the form

$$\delta I_{\partial M} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\partial M} \left[\tilde{\psi}^{A'} \left(\delta \Phi_{A'} \right) - \left(\delta \tilde{\psi}^{A'} \right) \Phi_{A'} \right] \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \quad , \quad (L4.3.17)$$

which implies that an equivalent form of I_B is

$$I_B \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\partial M} \tilde{\psi}^{A'} \Phi_{A'} \sqrt{\det h} \, d^3x \quad . \quad (L4.3.18)$$

The local boundary conditions studied at the classical level in this Lecture, have been applied to one-loop quantum cosmology [4]. Interestingly, our work seems to add evidence in favour of quantum amplitudes having to respect the properties of the classical boundary-value problem. In other words, if fermionic fields are massless, their one-loop properties in the presence of boundaries coincide in the case of spectral or local boundary conditions [4], while we find that classical modes for a regular solution of the Weyl equation obey the same conditions on a three-sphere boundary with spectral or local boundary conditions, provided the spinor field $\Phi^{A'}$ of (L4.3.5) is set to zero on S^3 . We also hope that the analysis presented in Eqs. (L4.3.10)-(L4.3.18) may clarify the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ variational problem in the case of local boundary conditions on a three-sphere.

L4.4 Potentials for Massless Spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ Fields

Recent work in the literature has studied the quantization of gauge theories and supersymmetric field theories in the presence of boundaries [4]. In particular, in the work described in [4], two possible sets of local boundary conditions were studied. One of these, first proposed in anti-de Sitter space-time, involves the normal to the boundary and Dirichlet or Neumann conditions for spin 0, the normal and the field for massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions, the normal and totally symmetric field strengths for spins 1, $\frac{3}{2}$ and 2. Although more attention has been paid to alternative local boundary conditions motivated by supersymmetry, described in section L4.3, the analysis of the former boundary conditions remains of mathematical and physical interest by virtue of its links with twistor theory [4]. The aim of the following analysis is to derive further mathematical properties of the corresponding boundary-value problem.

In section 5.7 of [4], a flat Euclidean background bounded by a three-sphere was studied. On the bounding S^3 , the following boundary conditions for a spin- s field were required:

$$2^s \epsilon n^{AA'} \dots \epsilon n^{LL'} \phi_{A\dots L} = \pm \tilde{\phi}^{A'\dots L'} \quad . \quad (L4.4.1)$$

With our notation, $\epsilon n^{AA'}$ is the Euclidean normal to S^3 [4], $\phi_{A\dots L} = \phi_{(A\dots L)}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{A'\dots L'} = \tilde{\phi}_{(A'\dots L')}$ are totally symmetric and independent (i.e. not related by any conjugation) field strengths, which reduce to the massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field for $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, the complex scalar field ϕ is such that its real part obeys Dirichlet conditions on S^3 and its imaginary part obeys Neumann conditions on S^3 , or the other way around, according to the value of the parameter $\epsilon \equiv \pm 1$ occurring in (L4.4.1), as described in [4].

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

We now focus on the totally symmetric field strengths ψ_{ABC} and $\tilde{\psi}_{A'B'C'}$ for spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ fields, and we express them in terms of their potentials. The corresponding theory in Minkowski space-time (and curved space-time), first described by Penrose [5], is adapted here to the case of flat Euclidean four-space with flat connection D . It turns out that $\tilde{\psi}_{A'B'C'}$ can then be obtained from two potentials defined as follows. The first potential satisfies the properties

$$\gamma_{A'B'}^C = \gamma_{(A'B')}^C \quad , \quad (L4.4.2)$$

$$D^{AA'} \gamma_{A'B'}^C = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.4.3)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_{A'B'C'} = D_{CC'} \gamma_{A'B'}^C \quad , \quad (L4.4.4)$$

with the gauge freedom of replacing it by

$$\hat{\gamma}_{A'B'}^C \equiv \gamma_{A'B'}^C + D_{B'}^C \tilde{\nu}_{A'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.5)$$

where $\tilde{\nu}_{A'}$ satisfies the positive-helicity Weyl equation

$$D^{AA'} \tilde{\nu}_{A'} = 0 \quad . \quad (L4.4.6)$$

The second potential is defined by the conditions [5]

$$\rho_{A'}^{BC} = \rho_{A'}^{(BC)} \quad , \quad (L4.4.7)$$

$$D^{AA'} \rho_{A'}^{BC} = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.4.8)$$

$$\gamma_{A'B'}^C = D_{BB'} \rho_{A'}^{BC} \quad , \quad (L4.4.9)$$

with the gauge freedom of being replaced by

$$\widehat{\rho}_{A'}^{BC} \equiv \rho_{A'}^{BC} + D_{A'}^C \chi^B \quad , \quad (L4.4.10)$$

where χ^B satisfies the negative-helicity Weyl equation

$$D_{BB'} \chi^B = 0 \quad . \quad (L4.4.11)$$

Moreover, in flat Euclidean four-space the field strength ψ_{ABC} is expressed in terms of the potential $\Gamma_{AB}^{C'} = \Gamma_{(AB)}^{C'}$, independent of $\gamma_{A'B'}^C$, as

$$\psi_{ABC} = D_{CC'} \Gamma_{AB}^{C'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.12)$$

with gauge freedom

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{AB}^{C'} \equiv \Gamma_{AB}^{C'} + D_B^{C'} \nu_A \quad . \quad (L4.4.13)$$

Thus, if we insert (L4.4.4) and (L4.4.12) into the boundary conditions (L4.4.1) with $s = \frac{3}{2}$, and require that also the gauge-equivalent potentials (L4.4.5) and (L4.4.13) should obey such boundary conditions on S^3 , we find that

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon n_{A'}^A \epsilon n_{B'}^B \epsilon n_{C'}^C D_{CL'} D_B^{L'} \nu_A = \epsilon D_{LC'} D_{B'}^L \widetilde{\nu}_{A'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.14)$$

on the three-sphere. Note that, from now on, covariant derivatives appearing in boundary conditions are first taken on the background and then evaluated on S^3 . In the case of our flat background, (L4.4.14) is identically satisfied since $D_{CL'} D_B^{L'} \nu_A$ and $D_{LC'} D_{B'}^L \widetilde{\nu}_{A'}$ vanish by virtue of spinor Ricci identities. In a curved background, however, denoting by

∇ the corresponding curved connection, and defining $\square_{AB} \equiv \nabla_{M'(A} \nabla^{M'}_{B)}$, $\square_{A'B'} \equiv \nabla_{X(A'} \nabla^X_{B')}$, since the spinor Ricci identities we need are

$$\square_{AB} \nu_C = \phi_{ABDC} \nu^D - 2\Lambda \nu_{(A} \epsilon_{B)C} \quad , \quad (L4.4.15)$$

$$\square_{A'B'} \tilde{\nu}_{C'} = \tilde{\phi}_{A'B'D'C'} \tilde{\nu}^{D'} - 2\tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\nu}_{(A'} \epsilon_{B')C'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.16)$$

one finds that the corresponding boundary conditions

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon n^A_{A'} \epsilon n^B_{B'} \epsilon n^C_{C'} \nabla_{CL'} \nabla^{L'}_B \nu_A = \epsilon \nabla_{LC'} \nabla^L_{B'} \tilde{\nu}_{A'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.17)$$

are identically satisfied if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\nu_A = \tilde{\nu}_{A'} = 0$; (ii) the Weyl spinors $\phi_{ABCD}, \tilde{\phi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ and the scalars $\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}$ vanish everywhere. However, since in a curved space-time with vanishing $\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}$, the potentials with the gauge freedoms (L4.4.5) and (L4.4.13) only exist provided D is replaced by ∇ and the trace-free part Φ_{ab} of the Ricci tensor vanishes as well [5], the background four-geometry is actually flat Euclidean four-space. Note that we require that (L4.4.17) should be identically satisfied to avoid that, after a gauge transformation, one obtains more boundary conditions than the ones originally imposed. The curvature of the background should not, itself, be subject to a boundary condition.

The same result can be derived by using the potential $\rho^{BC}_{A'}$ and its independent counterpart $\Lambda^{B'C'}_A$. This spinor field yields the $\Gamma^{C'}_{AB}$ potential by means of

$$\Gamma^{C'}_{AB} = D_{BB'} \Lambda^{B'C'}_A \quad , \quad (L4.4.18)$$

and has the gauge freedom

$$\widehat{\Lambda}_A^{B'C'} \equiv \Lambda_A^{B'C'} + D_A^{C'} \widetilde{\chi}^{B'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.19)$$

where $\widetilde{\chi}^{B'}$ satisfies the positive-helicity Weyl equation

$$D_{BF'} \widetilde{\chi}^{F'} = 0 \quad . \quad (L4.4.20)$$

Thus, if also the gauge-equivalent potentials (L4.4.10) and (L4.4.19) have to satisfy the boundary conditions (L4.4.1) on S^3 , one finds

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon n_{A'}^A \epsilon n_{B'}^B \epsilon n_{C'}^C D_{CL'} D_{BF'} D_A^{L'} \widetilde{\chi}^{F'} = \epsilon D_{LC'} D_{MB'} D_{A'}^L \chi^M \quad , \quad (L4.4.21)$$

on the three-sphere. In a flat background, covariant derivatives commute, hence (L4.4.21) is identically satisfied by virtue of (L4.4.11) and (L4.4.20). However, in the curved case the boundary conditions (L4.4.21) are replaced by

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon n_{A'}^A \epsilon n_{B'}^B \epsilon n_{C'}^C \nabla_{CL'} \nabla_{BF'} \nabla_A^{L'} \widetilde{\chi}^{F'} = \epsilon \nabla_{LC'} \nabla_{MB'} \nabla_{A'}^L \chi^M \quad , \quad (L4.4.22)$$

on S^3 , if the *local* expressions of ψ_{ABC} and $\widetilde{\psi}_{A'B'C'}$ in terms of potentials still hold [5]. By virtue of (L4.4.15)-(L4.4.16), where ν_C is replaced by χ_C and $\widetilde{\nu}_{C'}$ is replaced by $\widetilde{\chi}_{C'}$, this means that the Weyl spinors ϕ_{ABCD} , $\widetilde{\phi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ and the scalars $\Lambda, \widetilde{\Lambda}$ should vanish, since one should find

$$\nabla^{AA'} \widehat{\rho}_{A'}^{BC} = 0 \quad , \quad \nabla^{AA'} \widehat{\Lambda}_A^{B'C'} = 0 \quad . \quad (L4.4.23)$$

If we assume that $\nabla_{BF'} \widetilde{\chi}^{F'} = 0$ and $\nabla_{MB'} \chi^M = 0$, we have to show that (L4.4.22) differs from (L4.4.21) by terms involving a part of the curvature that is vanishing everywhere.

This is proved by using the basic rules of two-spinor calculus and spinor Ricci identities [5]. Thus, bearing in mind that [5]

$$\square^{AB} \tilde{\chi}_{B'} = \Phi^{AB}_{L'B'} \tilde{\chi}^{L'} \quad , \quad (L4.4.24)$$

$$\square^{A'B'} \chi_B = \tilde{\Phi}^{A'B'}_{LB} \chi^L \quad , \quad (L4.4.25)$$

one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{BB'} \nabla^{CA'} \chi_B &= \nabla^{(BB'} \nabla^{C)A'} \chi_B + \nabla^{[BB'} \nabla^{C]A'} \chi_B \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_B^{B'} \nabla^{CA'} \chi^B + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Phi}^{A'B'LC} \chi_L \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (L4.4.26)$$

Thus, if $\tilde{\Phi}^{A'B'LC}$ vanishes, also the left-hand side of (L4.4.26) has to vanish since this leads to the equation $\nabla^{BB'} \nabla^{CA'} \chi_B = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{BB'} \nabla^{CA'} \chi_B$. Hence (L4.4.26) is identically satisfied. Similarly, the left-hand side of (L4.4.22) can be made to vanish identically provided the additional condition $\Phi^{CDF'M'} = 0$ holds. The conditions

$$\Phi^{CDF'M'} = 0 \quad , \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{A'B'CL} = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.4.27)$$

when combined with the conditions

$$\phi_{ABCD} = \tilde{\phi}_{A'B'C'D'} = 0 \quad , \quad \Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda} = 0 \quad , \quad (L4.4.28)$$

arising from (L4.4.23) for the local existence of $\rho_{A'}^{BC}$ and $\Lambda_A^{B'C'}$ potentials, imply that the whole Riemann curvature should vanish. Hence, in the boundary-value problems we are interested in, the only admissible background four-geometry (of the Einstein type) is flat Euclidean four-space.

In conclusion, we have focused on the potentials for spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field strengths in flat or curved Riemannian four-space bounded by a three-sphere. Remarkably, it turns out that local boundary conditions involving field strengths and normals can only be imposed in a flat Euclidean background, for which the gauge freedom in the choice of the potentials remains. Penrose [5] found that ρ potentials exist *locally* only in the self-dual Ricci-flat case, whereas γ potentials may be introduced in the anti-self-dual case. Our result may be interpreted as a further restriction provided by (quantum) cosmology.

A naturally occurring question is whether the potentials studied in this section can be used to perform one-loop calculations for spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field strengths subject to (L4.4.1) on S^3 . The solution of this problem might shed new light on the quantization program for gauge theories in the presence of boundaries [4-5].

LECTURE 5.

L5.1 Self-Adjointness of Boundary-Value Problems for the Dirac Operator

We now study in detail local boundary conditions described in Lecture 4 in the case of the massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field on a three-sphere of radius a , bounding a region of Euclidean four-space centred on the origin. The field $(\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'})$ may be expanded in terms of harmonics on the family of three-spheres centred on the origin, as (cf. (L4.3.1)-(L4.3.2))

$$\psi^A = \frac{t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{npq} \alpha_n^{pq} \left[m_{np}(t) \rho^{nqA} + \tilde{r}_{np}(t) \bar{\sigma}^{nqA} \right] , \quad (L5.1.1)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{A'} = \frac{t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{npq} \alpha_n^{pq} \left[\tilde{m}_{np}(t) \bar{\rho}^{nqA'} + r_{np}(t) \sigma^{nqA'} \right] . \quad (L5.1.2)$$

Here t is the radius of a three-sphere, and the notation is the one described in Lecture 4. The *twiddle* symbol for $\tilde{\psi}^{A'}$ and for the coefficients $\tilde{m}_{np}$ and $\tilde{r}_{np}$ does not denote any conjugation operation. In fact, on analytic continuation to a smooth and positive-definite metric, unprimed and primed spinors transform under independent groups $SU(2)$ and $\widetilde{SU(2)}$. Moreover, setting $\epsilon \equiv \pm 1$, we know that supersymmetric local boundary conditions may be written in the form

$$\sqrt{2} \epsilon n_A^{A'} \psi^A = \epsilon \tilde{\psi}^{A'} \quad \text{on } S^3 , \quad (L5.1.3)$$

and we also know that the harmonics $\bar{\rho}^{nqA'}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{nqA}$ may be re-expressed in terms of the harmonics $n_A^{A'} \rho^{npA}$ and $n_{A'}^A \sigma^{npA'}$ using the relations [4]

$$\bar{\rho}^{nqA'} = 2n_A^{A'} \sum_d \rho^{ndA} (A_n^{-1} H_n)^{dq} \quad , \quad (L5.1.4)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^{nqA} = 2n_{A'}^A \sum_d \sigma^{ndA'} (A_n^{-1} H_n)^{dq} \quad . \quad (L5.1.5)$$

Boundary conditions of this kind have also been studied in Luckock 1991, where (though using a different formalism) the more general possibility of an ϵ which is a complex-valued function of position on the boundary has been considered. We shall see later that self-adjointness of the boundary-value problem implies reality of ϵ .

Let us now recall that $\alpha_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_n = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A_n^{-1} H_n$. For simplicity, consider first that part of (L5.1.3) which involves the ρ harmonics.

The relations (L5.1.1)-(L5.1.4) yield therefore for each n

$$-i \sum_{pq} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{pq} m_{np}(a) \rho^{nqA} = \epsilon \sum_{pq} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{pq} \tilde{m}_{np}(a) \sum_d \rho^{ndA} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{dq} . \quad (L5.1.6)$$

In the typical case of the indices $p, q = 1, 2$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} -im_{n1}(a) \left(\rho^{n1A} + \rho^{n2A} \right) - im_{n2}(a) \left(\rho^{n1A} - \rho^{n2A} \right) &= \epsilon \tilde{m}_{n1}(a) \left(\rho^{n2A} - \rho^{n1A} \right) \\ &+ \epsilon \tilde{m}_{n2}(a) (\rho^{n2A} + \rho^{n1A}) \end{aligned} \quad (L5.1.7)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

Similar equations hold for adjacent indices $p, q = 2k + 1, 2k + 2$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}(n + 3)$).

Since the harmonics ρ^{n1A} and ρ^{n2A} on the bounding three-sphere are linearly independent,

one has the following system:

$$-i \left[m_{n1}(a) + m_{n2}(a) \right] = \epsilon \left[\tilde{m}_{n2}(a) - \tilde{m}_{n1}(a) \right] \quad , \quad (L5.1.8)$$

$$-i \left[m_{n1}(a) - m_{n2}(a) \right] = \epsilon \left[\tilde{m}_{n2}(a) + \tilde{m}_{n1}(a) \right] \quad , \quad (L5.1.9)$$

whose solution is

$$-im_{n1}(a) = \epsilon \tilde{m}_{n2}(a) \quad , \quad (L5.1.10)$$

$$im_{n2}(a) = \epsilon \tilde{m}_{n1}(a) \quad . \quad (L5.1.11)$$

In the same way, the part of (L5.1.3) involving the σ harmonics leads to

$$\epsilon \sum_{pq} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{pq} r_{np}(a) \sigma^{nqA'} = i \sum_{pq} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{pq} \tilde{r}_{np}(a) \sum_d \sigma^{ndA'} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{dq} \quad , \quad (L5.1.12)$$

which implies, for example

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \left[r_{n1}(a) + r_{n2}(a) \right] \sigma^{n1A'} + \epsilon \left[r_{n1}(a) - r_{n2}(a) \right] \sigma^{n2A'} &= -i \left[\tilde{r}_{n1}(a) - \tilde{r}_{n2}(a) \right] \sigma^{n1A'} \\ &+ i \left[\tilde{r}_{n1}(a) + \tilde{r}_{n2}(a) \right] \sigma^{n2A'} \end{aligned} \quad (L5.1.13)$$

so that we finally get

$$-i \tilde{r}_{n1}(a) = \epsilon r_{n2}(a) \quad , \quad (L5.1.14)$$

$$i \tilde{r}_{n2}(a) = \epsilon r_{n1}(a) \quad , \quad (L5.1.15)$$

and similar equations for other adjacent indices p, q . Thus, defining

$$x \equiv m_{n1} \quad , \quad X \equiv m_{n2} \quad , \quad (L5.1.16)$$

$$\tilde{x} \equiv \tilde{m}_{n1} \quad , \quad \tilde{X} \equiv \tilde{m}_{n2} \quad , \quad (L5.1.17)$$

$$y \equiv r_{n1} \quad , \quad Y \equiv r_{n2} \quad , \quad (L5.1.18)$$

$$\tilde{y} \equiv \tilde{r}_{n1} \quad , \quad \tilde{Y} \equiv \tilde{r}_{n2} \quad , \quad (L5.1.19)$$

we may cast (L5.1.10)-(L5.1.11) and (L5.1.14)-(L5.1.15) in the form

$$-ix(a) = \epsilon \tilde{X}(a) \quad , \quad iX(a) = \epsilon \tilde{x}(a) \quad , \quad (L5.1.20)$$

$$-i\tilde{y}(a) = \epsilon Y(a) \quad , \quad i\tilde{Y}(a) = \epsilon y(a) \quad . \quad (L5.1.21)$$

Again, similar equations hold relating $m_{np}, \tilde{m}_{np}, r_{np}$ and $\tilde{r}_{np}$ at the boundary for adjacent indices $p = 2k + 1, 2k + 2$. The task now remains to work out the eigenvalue condition for this problem in the massless case. Indeed, we know that the Euclidean action I_E for a massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field is a sum of terms of the kind [4]

$$I_{n,E}(x, \tilde{x}, y, \tilde{y}) = \int_0^a d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{x}\dot{x} + x\dot{\tilde{x}} + \tilde{y}\dot{y} + y\dot{\tilde{y}}) - \frac{1}{\tau} \left(n + \frac{3}{2} \right) (\tilde{x}x + \tilde{y}y) \right] . \quad (L5.1.22)$$

Thus, writing $\kappa_n \equiv n + \frac{3}{2}$ and introducing, $\forall n \geq 0$, the operators

$$L_n \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau} - \frac{\kappa_n}{\tau} \quad , \quad M_n \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau} + \frac{\kappa_n}{\tau} \quad , \quad (L5.1.23)$$

the eigenvalue equations are found to be

$$L_n x = E \tilde{x} \quad , \quad M_n \tilde{x} = -E x \quad , \quad (L5.1.24)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

$$L_n y = E \tilde{y} \quad , \quad M_n \tilde{y} = -E y \quad , \quad (L5.1.25)$$

$$L_n X = E \tilde{X} \quad , \quad M_n \tilde{X} = -E X \quad , \quad (L5.1.26)$$

$$L_n Y = E \tilde{Y} \quad , \quad M_n \tilde{Y} = -E Y \quad . \quad (L5.1.27)$$

We now define $\forall n \geq 0$ the differential operators

$$P_n \equiv \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \left[E^2 - \frac{((n+2)^2 - \frac{1}{4})}{\tau^2} \right] \quad , \quad (L5.1.28)$$

$$Q_n \equiv \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \left[E^2 - \frac{((n+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4})}{\tau^2} \right] \quad . \quad (L5.1.29)$$

Equations (L5.1.24)-(L5.1.27) lead straightforwardly to the following second-order equations, $\forall n \geq 0$:

$$P_n \tilde{x} = P_n \tilde{X} = P_n \tilde{y} = P_n \tilde{Y} = 0 \quad , \quad (L5.1.30)$$

$$Q_n y = Q_n Y = Q_n x = Q_n X = 0 \quad . \quad (L5.1.31)$$

The solutions of (L5.1.30)-(L5.1.31) which are regular at the origin are

$$\tilde{x} = C_1 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+2}(E\tau) \quad , \quad \tilde{X} = C_2 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+2}(E\tau) \quad , \quad (L5.1.32)$$

$$x = C_3 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+1}(E\tau) \quad , \quad X = C_4 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+1}(E\tau) \quad , \quad (L5.1.33)$$

$$\tilde{y} = C_5 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+2}(E\tau) \quad , \quad \tilde{Y} = C_6 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+2}(E\tau) \quad , \quad (L5.1.34)$$

$$y = C_7 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+1}(E\tau) \quad , \quad Y = C_8 \sqrt{\tau} J_{n+1}(E\tau) \quad . \quad (L5.1.35)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

To find the condition obeyed by E , we must now insert (L5.1.32)-(L5.1.35) into the boundary conditions (L5.1.20)-(L5.1.21), taking into account also the first-order system given by (L5.1.24)-(L5.1.27). This gives the following eight equations:

$$-iC_3J_{n+1}(Ea) = \epsilon C_2J_{n+2}(Ea) \quad , \quad (L5.1.36)$$

$$iC_4J_{n+1}(Ea) = \epsilon C_1J_{n+2}(Ea) \quad , \quad (L5.1.37)$$

$$-iC_5J_{n+2}(Ea) = \epsilon C_8J_{n+1}(Ea) \quad , \quad (L5.1.38)$$

$$iC_6J_{n+2}(Ea) = \epsilon C_7J_{n+1}(Ea) \quad , \quad (L5.1.39)$$

$$C_1 = -\frac{EC_3J_{n+1}(Ea)}{\left[E\dot{J}_{n+2}(Ea) + (n+2)\frac{J_{n+2}(Ea)}{a}\right]} \quad , \quad (L5.1.40)$$

$$C_2 = -\frac{EC_4J_{n+1}(Ea)}{\left[E\dot{J}_{n+2}(Ea) + (n+2)\frac{J_{n+2}(Ea)}{a}\right]} \quad , \quad (L5.1.41)$$

$$C_7 = \frac{EC_5J_{n+2}(Ea)}{\left[E\dot{J}_{n+1}(Ea) - (n+1)\frac{J_{n+1}(Ea)}{a}\right]} \quad , \quad (L5.1.42)$$

$$C_8 = \frac{EC_6J_{n+2}(Ea)}{\left[E\dot{J}_{n+1}(Ea) - (n+1)\frac{J_{n+1}(Ea)}{a}\right]} \quad . \quad (L5.1.43)$$

Note that these give separate relations among the constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 and among C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8 . For example, using (L5.1.36)-(L5.1.37), (L5.1.40)-(L5.1.41) to eliminate C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 , and the useful identities

$$Ea\dot{J}_{n+1}(Ea) - (n+1)J_{n+1}(Ea) = -EaJ_{n+2}(Ea) \quad , \quad (L5.1.44)$$

$$Ea\dot{J}_{n+2}(Ea) + (n+2)J_{n+2}(Ea) = EaJ_{n+1}(Ea) \quad , \quad (L5.1.45)$$

one finds

$$-i\epsilon \frac{J_{n+1}(Ea)}{J_{n+2}(Ea)} = \epsilon^2 \frac{C_2}{C_3} = \epsilon^2 \frac{C_4}{C_1} = -i\epsilon^3 \frac{J_{n+2}(Ea)}{J_{n+1}(Ea)} \quad , \quad (L5.1.46)$$

which implies (since $\epsilon \equiv \pm 1$)

$$\left[J_{n+1}(Ea) \right]^2 - \left[J_{n+2}(Ea) \right]^2 = 0 \quad , \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad . \quad (L5.1.47)$$

The desired set of eigenvalue conditions can also be written in the form

$$J_{n+1}(Ea) = \pm J_{n+2}(Ea) \quad , \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad . \quad (L5.1.48)$$

Exactly the same set of eigenvalue conditions arises from eliminating C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8 . The limiting behaviour of the eigenvalues can be found under certain approximations. For example, if n is fixed and $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, one has the standard asymptotic expansion

$$J_n(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos \left(z - \frac{n\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O\left(z^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \quad . \quad (L5.1.49)$$

Thus, writing (L5.1.48) in the form $J_{n+1}(E) = \hat{\kappa} J_{n+2}(E)$, where $\hat{\kappa} \equiv \pm 1$ and we set $a = 1$ for simplicity, two asymptotic sets of eigenvalues result [4]

$$E^+ \sim \pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + L \right) \quad \text{if } \hat{\kappa} = 1 \quad , \quad (L5.1.50)$$

$$E^- \sim \pi \left(\frac{n}{2} + M + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{if } \hat{\kappa} = -1 \quad , \quad (L5.1.51)$$

where L and M are large integers (both positive and negative). One can also obtain an estimate of the smallest eigenvalues, for a given large n . These eigenvalues have the asymptotic form [4]

$$|E| \sim \left[n + o(n) \right] \quad . \quad (L5.1.52)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

In general it is very difficult to solve numerically (L5.1.48), because the recurrence relations which enable one to compute Bessel functions starting from J_0 and J_1 are a source of large errors when the argument is comparable with the order. Alternatively, one can easily work out a fourth-order differential equation whose solution is of the form $\sqrt{x}(J_{n+1}(x) \mp J_{n+2}(x))$. The coefficients of this equation do not depend on the Bessel functions, but unfortunately they involve the eigenvalues E . This is why we have not been able to compute numerically the eigenvalues. However, we should say that a more successful numerical study has been carried out in Berry and Mondragon 1987. In that paper, the authors study eigenvalues of the Dirac operator with local boundary conditions, in the case of neutrino billiards. This corresponds to massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles moving under the action of a potential describing a hard wall bounding a finite domain. The authors end up with an eigenvalue condition of the kind $J_l(k_{nl}) = J_{l+1}(k_{nl})$, and compute the lowest 2600 positive eigenvalues k_{nl} .

We now study the fermionic path integral

$$K \equiv \int e^{-I_E} D\psi^A D\tilde{\psi}^{A'} \quad , \quad (L5.1.53)$$

taken over the class of massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields $(\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'})$ which obey (L5.1.3) on the bounding S^3 . Here, with our conventions (cf. (L4.3.16))

$$I_E \equiv \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\tilde{\psi}^{A'} (\nabla_{AA'} \psi^A) - (\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}^{A'}) \psi^A \right] \quad (L5.1.54)$$

is the Euclidean action for a massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field $(\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'})$. The fermionic fields are taken to be anti-commuting, and Berezin integration is being used

$$\int dy = 0 \quad , \quad \int y dy = 1 \quad . \quad (L5.1.55)$$

Let us now assume provisionally that ψ^A and $\tilde{\psi}^{A'}$ can be expanded in a complete set of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_n^A, \tilde{\psi}_n^{A'}\}$ obeying the eigenvalue equations which arise from variation of the action (L5.1.54)

$$\nabla_{AA'} \psi_n^A = \lambda_n \tilde{\psi}_{nA'} \quad , \quad (L5.1.56)$$

$$\nabla_{AA'} \tilde{\psi}_n^{A'} = \lambda_n \psi_{nA} \quad , \quad (L5.1.57)$$

and the boundary condition $\sqrt{2} \epsilon n_{AA'} \psi_n^A = \epsilon \tilde{\psi}_{nA'}$ on S^3 (cf. (L5.1.3)). As with a bosonic one-loop path integral, one would like to be able to express the fermionic path integral K in terms of a suitable product of eigenvalues. One then needs the cross-terms in I_E to vanish. Indeed, the typical cross-term Σ appearing in I_E is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\lambda_n \tilde{\psi}_m^{A'} \tilde{\psi}_{nA'} + \lambda_m \psi_n^A \psi_{mA} + \lambda_m \tilde{\psi}_m^{A'} \tilde{\psi}_{nA'} + \lambda_n \psi_n^A \psi_{mA} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[(\lambda_n + \lambda_m) \tilde{\psi}_m^{A'} \tilde{\psi}_{nA'} + (\lambda_n + \lambda_m) \psi_n^A \psi_{mA} \right] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (L5.1.58)$$

In deriving (L5.1.58), where $n \neq m$, we have raised and lowered spinor indices, and used the anticommutation relations obeyed by our spinor fields. However, commutation can be assumed when the path integral is not involved, as we shall do later. The use of the eigenvalue equations (L5.1.56-57) shows now that the square bracket in (L5.1.58) may be

cast in the form $a\nabla_{AA'}\left(\psi_m^A\tilde{\psi}_n^{A'}\right)+b\nabla_{AA'}\left(\psi_n^A\tilde{\psi}_m^{A'}\right)$, with $a=-b=\frac{(\lambda_n+\lambda_m)}{(\lambda_n-\lambda_m)}$, provided

$\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$. Thus Σ becomes

$$\Sigma = \frac{i}{2} \frac{(\lambda_n + \lambda_m)}{(\lambda_n - \lambda_m)} \left[\int_{\partial M} d^3x \sqrt{h} \, e n_{AA'} \psi_m^A \tilde{\psi}_n^{A'} - \int_{\partial M} d^3x \sqrt{h} \, e n_{AA'} \psi_n^A \tilde{\psi}_m^{A'} \right] = 0 , \quad (L5.1.59)$$

by virtue of the local boundary conditions (L5.1.3). In the degenerate case $\lambda_n = \lambda_m$, a linear transformation within the degenerate eigenspace can be found such that the cross-terms again vanish. It is indeed well-known that every Hermitian matrix can be cast in diagonal form with real eigenvalues. Thus the asymptotic calculations (L5.1.50)-(L5.1.52), and the property that I_E can be written as a diagonal expression in terms of a sum over eigenfunctions suggest that the Dirac action used here, subject to local boundary conditions, can be expressed in terms of a self-adjoint differential operator acting on fields $(\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'})$. We shall now prove that this is indeed the case.

So far we have seen that the framework for the formulation of local boundary conditions involving normals and field strengths or fields is the Euclidean regime, where one deals with Riemannian metrics. Thus, we will pay special attention to the conjugation of $SU(2)$ spinors in Euclidean four-space. In fact such a conjugation will play a key role in proving self-adjointness. For this purpose, it can be useful to recall at first some basic results about $SU(2)$ spinors on an abstract Riemannian three-manifold (Σ, h) . In that case, one considers a bundle over the three-manifold, each fibre of which is isomorphic to

a two-dimensional complex vector space W . It is then possible to define a nowhere vanishing antisymmetric ϵ_{AB} (the usual one of Lecture 4) so as to raise and lower internal indices, and a positive-definite Hermitian inner product on each fibre: $(\psi, \phi) = \bar{\psi}^{A'} G_{A'A} \phi^A$. The requirements of Hermiticity and positivity imply respectively that $\bar{G}_{A'A} = G_{A'A}$, $\bar{\psi}^{A'} G_{A'A} \psi^A > 0, \forall \psi^A \neq 0$. This $G_{A'A}$ converts primed indices to unprimed ones, and it is given by $i\sqrt{2} n_{AA'}$. Given the space H of all objects α^A_B such that $\alpha^A_A = 0$ and $(\alpha^\dagger)^A_B = -\alpha^A_B$, one finds there always exists a $SU(2)$ soldering form $\sigma^a_A{}^B$ (i.e. a global isomorphism) between H and the tangent space on (Σ, h) such that $h^{ab} = -\sigma^a_A{}^B \sigma^b_B{}^A$. Therefore one also finds $\sigma^a_A{}^A = 0$ and $(\sigma^a_A{}^B)^\dagger = -\sigma^a_A{}^B$. One then defines ψ^A an $SU(2)$ spinor on (Σ, h) . A basic remark is that $SU(2)$ transformations are those $SL(2, C)$ transformations which preserve $n^{AA'} = n^a \sigma_a^{AA'}$, where $n^a = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the normal to Σ . The Euclidean conjugation used here (not to be confused with complex conjugation in Minkowski space-time) is such that (see now section L4.1)

$$(\psi_A + \lambda \phi_A)^\dagger = \psi_A^\dagger + \lambda^* \phi_A^\dagger \quad , \quad (\psi_A^\dagger)^\dagger = -\psi_A \quad , \quad (L5.1.60)$$

$$\epsilon_{AB}^\dagger = \epsilon_{AB} \quad , \quad (\psi_A \phi_B)^\dagger = \psi_A^\dagger \phi_B^\dagger \quad , \quad (L5.1.61)$$

$$(\psi_A)^\dagger \psi^A > 0 \quad , \quad \forall \psi_A \neq 0 \quad . \quad (L5.1.62)$$

In (L5.1.60) and in the following pages, the symbol $*$ denotes complex conjugation of scalars. How to generalize this picture to the Euclidean four-space ? For this purpose, let us now focus our attention on states that are pairs of spinor fields, defining

$$w \equiv (\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'}) \quad , \quad z \equiv (\phi^A, \tilde{\phi}^{A'}) \quad , \quad (L5.1.63)$$

on the ball of radius a in Euclidean four-space, subject always to the boundary conditions (L5.1.3). w and z are subject also to suitable differentiability conditions, to be specified later. Let us also define the operator C

$$C : (\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'}) \rightarrow (\nabla_{B'}^A \tilde{\psi}^{B'}, \nabla_B^{A'} \psi^B) \quad , \quad (L5.1.64)$$

and the *dagger* operation

$$(\psi^A)^\dagger \equiv \epsilon^{AB} \delta_{BA'} \overline{\psi}^{A'} \quad , \quad (\tilde{\psi}^{A'})^\dagger \equiv \epsilon^{A'B'} \delta_{B'A} \overline{\psi}^A \quad . \quad (L5.1.65)$$

The consideration of C is suggested of course by the action (L5.1.54) and by the eigenvalue equations (L5.1.56)-(L5.1.57). In (L5.1.65), $\delta_{BA'}$ is an identity matrix playing the same role of $G_{AA'}$ for $SU(2)$ spinors on (Σ, h) , so that $\delta_{BA'}$ is preserved by $SU(2)$ transformations. Moreover, the *bar* symbol $\overline{\psi^A} = \overline{\psi}^A$ denotes the usual complex conjugation of $SL(2, C)$ spinors. Hence one finds

$$\left((\psi^A)^\dagger \right)^\dagger = \epsilon^{AC} \delta_{CB'} \overline{(\psi^{B\dagger})'} = \epsilon^{AC} \delta_{CB'} \epsilon^{B'D'} \delta_{D'F} \psi^F = -\psi^A \quad , \quad (L5.1.66)$$

in view of the definition of ϵ^{AB} . Thus, the *dagger* operation defined in (L5.1.65) is anti-involutory, because, when applied twice to ψ^A , it yields $-\psi^A$.

As anticipated after (L5.1.58), from now on we study commuting spinors, for simplicity of exposition of the self-adjointness. It is easy to check that the *dagger*, also called in the literature Euclidean conjugation (Lecture 4), satisfies all properties (L5.1.60)-(L5.1.62). We can now define the scalar product

$$(w, z) \equiv \int_M \left[\psi_A^\dagger \phi^A + \tilde{\psi}_{A'}^\dagger \tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right] \sqrt{g} \, d^4x \quad . \quad (L5.1.67)$$

This is indeed a scalar product, because it satisfies all following properties for all vectors u, v, w and $\forall \lambda \in C$:

$$(u, u) > 0 \quad , \quad \forall u \neq 0 \quad , \quad (L5.1.68)$$

$$(u, v + w) = (u, v) + (u, w) \quad , \quad (L5.1.69)$$

$$(u, \lambda v) = \lambda(u, v) \quad , \quad (\lambda u, v) = \lambda^*(u, v) \quad , \quad (L5.1.70)$$

$$(v, u) = (u, v)^* \quad . \quad (L5.1.71)$$

We are now aiming to check that C or iC is a symmetric operator, i.e. that $(Cz, w) = (z, Cw)$ or $(iCz, w) = (z, iCw)$, $\forall z, w$. This will be used in the course of proving further that the symmetric operator has self-adjoint extensions. In order to prove this result it is clear, in view of (L5.1.67), we need to know the properties of the spinor covariant derivative acting on $SU(2)$ spinors. In the case of $SL(2, C)$ spinors it is known this derivative is a linear, torsion-free map $\nabla_{AA'}$ which satisfies the Leibniz rule, annihilates ϵ_{AB} and is real (i.e. $\psi = \nabla_{AA'}\theta \Rightarrow \bar{\psi} = \nabla_{AA'}\bar{\theta}$). Moreover, we know that (cf. (L1.4.4))

$$\nabla^{AA'} = e^{AA'}_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} = e^a_{\mu} \sigma_a^{AA'} \nabla^{\mu} \quad . \quad (L5.1.72)$$

In Euclidean four-space, we use both (L5.1.72) and the relation

$$\sigma_{\mu AC'} \sigma_{\nu B}^{C'} + \sigma_{\nu BC'} \sigma_{\mu A}^{C'} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{AB} \quad , \quad (L5.1.73)$$

where $\delta_{\mu\nu}$ has signature $(+, +, +, +)$. This implies that $\sigma_0 = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}I$, $\sigma_i = \frac{\Sigma_i}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3$,

where Σ_i are the Pauli matrices. Now, in view of (L5.1.64) and (L5.1.67) one finds

$$(Cz, w) = \int_M (\nabla_{AB'}\phi^A)^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}^{B'} \sqrt{g} d^4x + \int_M \left(\nabla_{BA'}\tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right)^{\dagger} \psi^B \sqrt{g} d^4x \quad , \quad (L5.1.74)$$

whereas, using the Leibniz rule in computing $\nabla_{B'}^A \left(\phi_A^\dagger \tilde{\psi}^{B'} \right)$ and $\nabla_B^{A'} \left(\left(\tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger \psi^B \right)$, and

integrating by parts, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} (z, Cw) &= \int_M (\nabla_{AB'} \phi^{A\dagger}) \tilde{\psi}^{B'} \sqrt{g} d^4x + \int_M \left(\nabla_{BA'} \left(\tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger \right) \psi^B \sqrt{g} d^4x \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial M} (e n_{AB'}) \phi^{A\dagger} \tilde{\psi}^{B'} \sqrt{h} d^3x - \int_{\partial M} (e n_{BA'}) \left(\tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger \psi^B \sqrt{h} d^3x. \end{aligned} \quad (L5.1.75)$$

Now we use (L5.1.65), the identity

$$\left(e n^{AA'} \phi_A \right)^\dagger = \epsilon^{A'B'} \delta_{B'C} \overline{e n^{DC'}} \overline{\phi_D} = -\epsilon^{A'B'} \delta_{B'C} \left(e n^{CD'} \right) \overline{\phi_{D'}} \quad , \quad (L5.1.76)$$

section L4.1 and the boundary conditions on S^3 : $\sqrt{2} e n^{CB'} \psi_C = \tilde{\psi}^{B'}$, $\sqrt{2} e n^{AA'} \phi_A = \tilde{\phi}^{A'}$.

In so doing, the sum of the boundary terms in (L5.1.75) is found to vanish. This implies in turn that equality of the volume integrands is sufficient to show that (Cz, w) and (z, Cw)

are equal. For example, one finds in flat space, using also (L5.1.65) : $\left(\nabla_{BA'} \tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger = \delta_{BF'} \overline{\sigma}^{F'}_C{}^a \partial_a \left(\overline{\phi}^C \right)$, whereas : $\left(\nabla_{BA'} \left(\tilde{\phi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger \right) = -\delta_{CF'} \sigma_B^{F'a} \partial_a \left(\overline{\phi}^C \right)$. In other words,

we are led to study the condition

$$\delta_{BF'} \overline{\sigma}^{F'}_C{}^a = \pm \delta_{BF'} \sigma_C^{F'a} \quad , \quad (L5.1.77)$$

$\forall a = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Now, using the relations

$$\sqrt{2} \sigma_{AA'}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sqrt{2} \sigma_{AA'}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (L5.1.78)$$

$$\sqrt{2} \sigma_{AA'}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sqrt{2} \sigma_{AA'}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (L5.1.79)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

$$\sigma_{A'}^A{}^a = \epsilon^{AB} \sigma_{BA'}{}^a \quad , \quad \sigma_A^{A'}{}^a = -\sigma_{AB'}{}^a \epsilon^{B'A'} \quad , \quad (L5.1.80)$$

one finds that the complex conjugate of $\sigma_{A'}^A{}^a$ is always equal to $\sigma_A^{A'}{}^a$, which is not in agreement with the choice of the $(-)$ sign on the right-hand side of (L5.1.77). This implies in turn that the symmetric operator we are looking for is iC , where C has been defined in (L5.1.64). The generalization to a curved four-dimensional Riemannian space is obtained via the relation $e^{AA'}{}_\mu = e^a{}_\mu \sigma_a^{AA'}$ (see problem (P.14)).

Now, it is known that every symmetric operator has a closure, and the operator and its closure have the same closed extensions. Moreover, a closed symmetric operator on a Hilbert space is self-adjoint if and only if its spectrum is a subset of the real axis. To prove self-adjointness for our boundary-value problem, we may recall an important result due to von Neumann [4]. This theorem states that, given a symmetric operator A with domain $D(A)$, if a map $F : D(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ exists such that

$$F(\alpha w + \beta z) = \alpha^* F(w) + \beta^* F(z) \quad , \quad (L5.1.81)$$

$$(w, w) = (Fw, Fw) \quad , \quad (L5.1.82)$$

$$F^2 = \pm I \quad , \quad (L5.1.83)$$

$$FA = AF \quad , \quad (L5.1.84)$$

then A has self-adjoint extensions. In our case, denoting by D the operator (cf. (L5.1.65))

$$D : (\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'}) \rightarrow \left((\psi^A)^\dagger, (\tilde{\psi}^{A'})^\dagger \right) \quad , \quad (L5.1.85)$$

let us focus our attention on the operators $F = iD$ and $A = iC$. The operator F maps indeed $D(A)$ to $D(A)$. In fact, bearing in mind the definitions

$$G \equiv \left\{ \varphi = (\phi^A, \tilde{\phi}^{A'}) : \varphi \text{ is at least } C^1 \right\} , \quad (\text{L5.1.86})$$

$$D(A) \equiv \left\{ \varphi \in G : \sqrt{2} \, {}_e n^{AA'} \phi_A = \epsilon \tilde{\phi}^{A'} \text{ on } S^3 \right\} , \quad (\text{L5.1.87})$$

one finds that F maps $(\phi^A, \tilde{\phi}^{A'})$ to $(\beta^A, \tilde{\beta}^{A'}) = \left(i(\phi^A)^\dagger, i(\tilde{\phi}^{A'})^\dagger \right)$ such that

$$\sqrt{2} \, {}_e n^{AA'} \beta_A = \gamma \tilde{\beta}^{A'} \text{ on } S^3 , \quad (\text{L5.1.88})$$

where $\gamma = \epsilon^*$. The boundary condition (L5.1.88) is clearly of the type which occurs in (L5.1.87) provided ϵ is real, and the differentiability of $(\beta^A, \tilde{\beta}^{A'})$ is not affected by the action of F (cf. (L5.1.85)). In deriving (L5.1.88), we have used the result for $({}_e n^{AA'} \phi_A)^\dagger$ obtained in (L5.1.76). It is worth emphasizing that the requirement of self-adjointness enforces the choice of a real function ϵ , which is a constant in our case. Moreover, in view of (L5.1.66), one immediately sees that (L5.1.81) and (L5.1.83) hold when $F = iD$, provided we write (L5.1.83) as $F^2 = -I$. This is indeed a crucial point which deserves special attention. Condition (L5.1.83) is written in Reed and Simon 1975 as $F^2 = I$, and examples are later given (see page 144 therein) where F is complex conjugation. But we are formulating our problem in the Euclidean regime, where we have seen that the only possible conjugation is the *dagger* operation, which is anti-involutory on spinors with an odd number of indices. Thus, we are here generalizing von Neumann's theorem in the

following way. If F is a map $D(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ which satisfies (L5.1.81)-(L5.1.84), then the same is clearly true of $\tilde{F} = -iD = -F$. Hence

$$-F D(A) \subseteq D(A) \quad , \quad (L5.1.89)$$

$$F D(A) \subseteq D(A) \quad . \quad (L5.1.90)$$

Acting with F on both sides of (L5.1.89), one finds

$$D(A) \subseteq F D(A) \quad , \quad (L5.1.91)$$

using the property $F^2 = -I$. But then the relations (L5.1.90-91) imply that $F D(A) = D(A)$, so that F takes $D(A)$ onto $D(A)$ also in the case of the anti-involutory Euclidean conjugation that we called *dagger*. Comparison with the proof presented at the beginning of page 144 in Reed and Simon 1975 shows that this is all what we need so as to generalize von Neumann's theorem to the Dirac operator acting on $SU(2)$ spinors in Euclidean four-space (one later uses properties (L5.1.84), (L5.1.81) and (L5.1.82) as well in completing the proof).

It remains to verify conditions (L5.1.82) and (L5.1.84). First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} (Fw, Fw) &= (iDw, iDw) \\ &= \int_M \left(i\psi_A^\dagger \right)^\dagger i \left(\psi^A \right)^\dagger \sqrt{g} \, d^4x + \int_M \left(i\tilde{\psi}_{A'}^\dagger \right)^\dagger i \left(\tilde{\psi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger \sqrt{g} \, d^4x \\ &= (w, w) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (L5.1.92)$$

where we have used (L5.1.66)-(L5.1.67) and the commutation property of our spinors.

Second, one finds

$$FAw = (iD)(iC)w = i \left[i \left(\nabla_{B'}^A \tilde{\psi}^{B'}, \nabla_B^{A'} \psi^B \right) \right]^\dagger = \left(\nabla_{B'}^A \tilde{\psi}^{B'}, \nabla_B^{A'} \psi^B \right)^\dagger , \quad (L5.1.93)$$

$$AFw = (iC) (iD) w = iCi \left(\psi^{A\dagger}, \left(\tilde{\psi}^{A'} \right)^\dagger \right) = - \left(\nabla_{B'}^A \left(\tilde{\psi}^{B'} \right)^\dagger, \nabla_B^{A'} \psi^{B\dagger} \right), \quad (L5.1.94)$$

which in turn implies that also (L5.1.84) holds in view of what we found just before (L5.1.77) and after (L5.1.80). To sum up, we have proved that the operator iC arising in our boundary-value problem is symmetric and has self-adjoint extensions (see now problem (P.15)). Hence the eigenvalues of iC are real, and the eigenvalues λ_n of C are purely imaginary. This is in agreement with (L5.1.48), because there $E = i\lambda_n = -Im(\lambda_n)$. Further, they occur in equal and opposite pairs in our example of flat Euclidean four-space bounded by a three-sphere. Hence the fermionic one-loop path integral K is formally given by the dimensionless product

$$K = \prod_n \left(\frac{|\lambda_n|}{\tilde{\mu}} \right), \quad (L5.1.95)$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is a normalization constant with dimensions of mass, and the right-hand side of (L5.1.95) should be interpreted as explained in section 4.3 of [4]. This one-loop K can be regularized by using the zeta-function

$$\zeta_M(s) \equiv \sum_{n,k} d_k(n) \left(|\lambda_{n,k}|^2 \right)^{-s}. \quad (L5.1.96)$$

With this more accurate notation, we write $d_k(n)$ for the degeneracy of the eigenvalues $|\lambda_{n,k}|$. Two indices are used because, for each value of the integer n , there are infinitely many eigenvalues labeled by k .

L5.2 ζ -Function Calculations for the Dirac Operator

In section L5.1 we derived the eigenvalue condition (L5.1.48) which, setting $a = 1$ for simplicity, can be written in the non-linear form (L5.1.47)

$$F(E) \equiv \left[J_{n+1}(E) \right]^2 - \left[J_{n+2}(E) \right]^2 = 0 \quad , \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad . \quad (L5.2.1)$$

The function F is the product of the entire functions (functions analytic in the whole complex plane) $F_1 \equiv J_{n+1} - J_{n+2}$ and $F_2 \equiv J_{n+1} + J_{n+2}$, which can be written in the form

$$F_1(z) \equiv J_{n+1}(z) - J_{n+2}(z) = \gamma_1 z^{(n+1)} e^{g_1(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) e^{\frac{z}{\mu_i}} \quad , \quad (L5.2.2)$$

$$F_2(z) \equiv J_{n+1}(z) + J_{n+2}(z) = \gamma_2 z^{(n+1)} e^{g_2(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\nu_i} \right) e^{\frac{z}{\nu_i}} \quad . \quad (L5.2.3)$$

In (L5.2.2-3), γ_1 and γ_2 are constants, g_1 and g_2 are entire functions, the μ_i are the (real) zeros of F_1 and the ν_i are the (real) zeros of F_2 . In fact, F_1 and F_2 are entire functions whose canonical product has genus 1. Namely, in light of the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues (cf. (L5.1.50-51)), we know that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\mu_i|} = \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\nu_i|} = \infty$, whereas $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\mu_i|^2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\nu_i|^2}$ are convergent. This is why $e^{\frac{z}{\mu_i}}$ and $e^{\frac{z}{\nu_i}}$ must appear in (L5.2.2-3), which are called the canonical-product representations of F_1 and F_2 . The genus of the canonical product for F_1 is the minimum integer h such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\mu_i|^{h+1}}$ converges, and similarly for F_2 , replacing μ_i with ν_i . If the genus is equal to 1, this ensures that no higher powers of $\frac{z}{\mu_i}$ and $\frac{z}{\nu_i}$ are needed in the argument of the exponential. However, there is a

very simple relation between μ_i and ν_i . In fact, if J_{n+1} is an even function (i.e. if n is odd), then J_{n+2} is an odd function, and viceversa. Thus

$$J_{n+1}(-z) - J_{n+2}(-z) = J_{n+1}(z) + J_{n+2}(z) \text{ if } n \text{ is odd ,} \quad (L5.2.4)$$

$$J_{n+1}(-z) - J_{n+2}(-z) = -J_{n+1}(z) - J_{n+2}(z) \text{ if } n \text{ is even .} \quad (L5.2.5)$$

The relations (L5.2.4-5) imply that the zeros of F_1 are minus the zeros of F_2 : $\mu_i = -\nu_i$, $\forall i$. This is of course just the symmetry property of the eigenvalues pointed out in section L5.1, following (L5.1.94). This implies in turn that (cf. (L5.2.1))

$$F(z) = \tilde{\gamma} z^{2(n+1)} e^{(g_1+g_2)(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\mu_i^2}\right) , \quad (L5.2.6a)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} \equiv \gamma_1 \gamma_2$, and μ_i^2 are the positive zeros of $F(z)$. It turns out that the function $(g_1 + g_2)$ is actually a constant, so that we can write

$$F(z) = F(-z) = \gamma z^{2(n+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\mu_i^2}\right) , \quad (L5.2.6b)$$

In fact, the following theorem holds [4].

Theorem L5.2.1 Let f be an entire function. If $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists A_\epsilon$ such that

$$\log \max \left\{ 1, |f(z)| \right\} \leq A_\epsilon |z|^{1+\epsilon} , \quad (L5.2.7)$$

then f can be expressed in terms of its zeros as

$$f(z) = e^{A+Bz} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\tilde{\nu}_i}\right) e^{\frac{z}{\tilde{\nu}_i}} . \quad (L5.2.8)$$

If we now apply theorem L5.2.1 to the functions $F_1(z)z^{-(n+1)}$ and $F_2(z)z^{-(n+1)}$ (cf. (L5.2.2)-(L5.2.3)), we discover that the well-known formula

$$J_n(z) = \frac{i^{-n}}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{iz \cos \theta} \cos(n\theta) d\theta \quad , \quad (L5.2.9)$$

leads to the fulfillment of (L5.2.7) for $F_1(z)z^{-(n+1)}$ and $F_2(z)z^{-(n+1)}$. Hence these functions satisfy (L5.2.8) with constants A_1 and B_1 for $F_1(z)z^{-(n+1)}$, and constants A_2 and $B_2 = -B_1$ for $F_2(z)z^{-(n+1)}$. The fact that $B_2 = -B_1$ is well-understood if we look again at (L5.2.4)-(L5.2.5). I am most indebted to Dr. R. Pinch for providing this argument.

The application of the method of section 7.3 of [4] (see now the appendix) makes it necessary to re-express the function F in (L5.2.1) in terms of Bessel functions and their first derivatives of the same order. Thus, defining $m \equiv n + 2$, and using the identity

$$J'_l(x) = J_{l-1}(x) - \frac{l}{x} J_l(x) \quad , \quad (L5.2.10)$$

one finds

$$\begin{aligned} J_{m-1}^2(x) - J_m^2(x) &= \left(J'_m + \frac{m}{x} J_m - J_m \right) \left(J'_m + \frac{m}{x} J_m + J_m \right) \\ &= J_m'^2 + \left(\frac{m^2}{x^2} - 1 \right) J_m^2 + 2 \frac{m}{x} J_m J'_m \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (L5.2.11)$$

This is why, making the analytic continuation $x \rightarrow ix$, and then defining $\alpha_m \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + x^2}$ and using the uniform asymptotic expansions of Bessel functions and their first derivatives we obtain

$$J_{m-1}^2(ix) - J_m^2(ix) \sim \frac{(ix)^{2(m-1)}}{2\pi} \alpha_m e^{2\alpha_m} e^{-2m \log(m+\alpha_m)} \left[\Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2 + 2 \frac{m}{\alpha_m} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2 \right] . \quad (L5.2.12)$$

Thus we only need to multiply the left-hand side of (L5.2.12) by $(ix)^{-2(m-1)}$ so as to get a function of the kind $\frac{\alpha_m}{2\pi} e^{2\alpha_m} e^{-2m \log(m+\alpha_m)} \tilde{\Sigma}$, similarly to section 7.3 of [4]. We now define

$$t \equiv \frac{m}{\alpha_m} \quad , \quad \tilde{\Sigma} \equiv \Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2 + 2t\Sigma_1\Sigma_2 \quad , \quad (L5.2.13)$$

and study the asymptotic expansion of $\log(\tilde{\Sigma})$ in the relation

$$\log \left[(ix)^{-2(m-1)} (J_{m-1}^2 - J_m^2) (ix) \right] \sim -\log(2\pi) + \log(\alpha_m) + 2\alpha_m - 2m \log(m+\alpha_m) + \log(\tilde{\Sigma}). \quad (L5.2.14)$$

This is obtained bearing in mind that the functions Σ_1 and Σ_2 in (L5.2.12)-(L5.2.13) have asymptotic series given by

$$\Sigma_1 \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_k(\frac{m}{\alpha_m})}{m^k} \sim \left[1 + \frac{a_1(t)}{\alpha_m} + \frac{a_2(t)}{\alpha_m^2} + \frac{a_3(t)}{\alpha_m^3} + \dots \right] \quad , \quad (L5.2.15)$$

$$\Sigma_2 \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_k(\frac{m}{\alpha_m})}{m^k} \sim \left[1 + \frac{b_1(t)}{\alpha_m} + \frac{b_2(t)}{\alpha_m^2} + \frac{b_3(t)}{\alpha_m^3} + \dots \right] \quad , \quad (L5.2.16)$$

so that

$$a_i(t) = \frac{u_i(\frac{m}{\alpha_m})}{(\frac{m}{\alpha_m})^i} = \frac{u_i(t)}{t^i} \quad , \quad b_i(t) = \frac{v_i(\frac{m}{\alpha_m})}{(\frac{m}{\alpha_m})^i} = \frac{v_i(t)}{t^i} \quad , \quad \forall i \geq 0 \quad , \quad (L5.2.17)$$

where $u_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ are the polynomials already defined in (4.4.17)-(4.4.22) of [4]. The relations (L5.2.13)-(L5.2.16) lead to the asymptotic expansion

$$\tilde{\Sigma} \sim \left[c_0 + \frac{c_1}{\alpha_m} + \frac{c_2}{\alpha_m^2} + \frac{c_3}{\alpha_m^3} + \dots \right] \quad , \quad (L5.2.18)$$

where

$$c_0 = 2(1+t) \quad , \quad c_1 = 2(1+t)(a_1 + b_1) \quad , \quad (L5.2.19)$$

$$c_2 = a_1^2 + b_1^2 + 2(1+t)(a_2 + b_2) + 2ta_1b_1 \quad , \quad (L5.2.20)$$

$$c_3 = 2(1+t)(a_3 + b_3) + 2(a_1a_2 + b_1b_2) + 2t(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) \quad . \quad (L5.2.21)$$

Higher-order terms have not been computed in (L5.2.18) because they do not affect the result for $\zeta(0)$, as we will prove in detail in section L5.6. Since t is variable, it is necessary to define

$$\Sigma \equiv \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}}{c_0} \sim \left[1 + \frac{\left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)}{\alpha_m} + \frac{\left(\frac{c_2}{c_0}\right)}{\alpha_m^2} + \frac{\left(\frac{c_3}{c_0}\right)}{\alpha_m^3} + \dots \right] \quad . \quad (L5.2.22)$$

We can now expand $\log(\Sigma)$ according to the usual algorithm valid as $\omega \rightarrow 0$

$$\log(1 + \omega) = \omega - \frac{\omega^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^3}{3} - \frac{\omega^4}{4} + \frac{\omega^5}{5} + \dots \quad . \quad (L5.2.23)$$

Hence we find

$$\log(\tilde{\Sigma}) = \left[\log(c_0) + \log(\Sigma) \right] \sim \left[\log(c_0) + \frac{A_1}{\alpha_m} + \frac{A_2}{\alpha_m^2} + \frac{A_3}{\alpha_m^3} + \dots \right] \quad , \quad (L5.2.24)$$

where

$$A_1 = \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right) \quad , \quad A_2 = \left(\frac{c_2}{c_0} \right) - \frac{\left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)^2}{2} \quad , \quad (L5.2.25)$$

$$A_3 = \left(\frac{c_3}{c_0} \right) - \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right) \left(\frac{c_2}{c_0} \right) + \frac{\left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)^3}{3} \quad . \quad (L5.2.26)$$

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

Using (4.4.17)-(4.4.22) of [4] and (L5.2.17)-(L5.2.26), one finds after a lengthy calculation the following fundamental result:

$$A_1 = \sum_{r=0}^2 k_{1r} t^r \quad , \quad A_2 = \sum_{r=0}^4 k_{2r} t^r \quad , \quad A_3 = \sum_{r=0}^6 k_{3r} t^r \quad , \quad (L5.2.27)$$

where

$$k_{10} = -\frac{1}{4} \quad , \quad k_{11} = 0 \quad , \quad k_{12} = \frac{1}{12} \quad , \quad (L5.2.28)$$

$$k_{20} = 0 \quad , \quad k_{21} = -\frac{1}{8} \quad , \quad k_{22} = k_{23} = \frac{1}{8} \quad , \quad k_{24} = -\frac{1}{8} \quad , \quad (L5.2.29)$$

$$k_{30} = \frac{5}{192} \quad , \quad k_{31} = -\frac{1}{8} \quad , \quad k_{32} = \frac{9}{320} \quad , \quad k_{33} = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad (L5.2.30)$$

$$k_{34} = -\frac{23}{64} \quad , \quad k_{35} = -\frac{3}{8} \quad , \quad k_{36} = \frac{179}{576} \quad . \quad (L5.2.31)$$

The relations (L5.2.13-14), (L5.2.19) and (L5.2.27-31) finally lead to the formula

$$\log \left[(ix)^{-2(m-1)} (J_{m-1}^2 - J_m^2) (ix) \right] \sim \sum_{i=1}^5 S_i(m, \alpha_m(x)) + \text{higher - order terms} \quad , \quad (L5.2.32)$$

where

$$S_1(m, \alpha_m(x)) \equiv -\log(\pi) + 2\alpha_m \quad , \quad (L5.2.33)$$

$$S_2(m, \alpha_m(x)) \equiv -(2m-1) \log(m + \alpha_m) \quad , \quad (L5.2.34)$$

$$S_3(m, \alpha_m(x)) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^2 k_{1r} m^r \alpha_m^{-r-1} \quad , \quad (L5.2.35)$$

$$S_4(m, \alpha_m(x)) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^4 k_{2r} m^r \alpha_m^{-r-2} \quad , \quad (L5.2.36)$$

$$S_5(m, \alpha_m(x)) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^6 k_{3r} m^r \alpha_m^{-r-3} \quad . \quad (L5.2.37)$$

This is why, defining

$$W_\infty \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) \left(\frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^3 \left[\sum_{i=1}^5 S_i(m, \alpha_m(x)) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^5 W_\infty^{(i)} \quad , \quad (L5.2.38)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(3)\zeta(3, x^2) &= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) \left(\frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^3 \log \left[(ix)^{-2(m-1)} (J_{m-1}^2 - J_m^2) (ix) \right] \\ &\sim W_\infty + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \hat{q}_n x^{-2-n} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (L5.2.39)$$

In deriving the fundamental formulae (L5.2.29)-(L5.2.31), the relevant intermediate steps are the following (see again (L5.2.17)-(L5.2.26)):

$$\frac{c_2}{c_0} = a_2 + b_2 + a_1 b_1 + \frac{(a_1 - b_1)^2}{2(1+t)} \quad , \quad (L5.2.40)$$

$$(a_1 - b_1)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1-t)^2(1+t)^2 \quad , \quad (L5.2.41)$$

$$\frac{c_2}{c_0} = \frac{1}{32} - \frac{t}{8} + \frac{5}{48}t^2 + \frac{t^3}{8} - \frac{35}{288}t^4 \quad , \quad (L5.2.42)$$

$$- \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right) \left(\frac{c_2}{c_0} \right) + \frac{\left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)^3}{3} = \frac{1}{384} - \frac{t}{32} + \frac{11}{384}t^2 + \frac{t^3}{24} - \frac{47}{1152}t^4 - \frac{t^5}{96} + \frac{107}{10368}t^6 \quad , \quad (L5.2.43)$$

$$\frac{c_3}{c_0} = a_3 + b_3 + a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 + \frac{[(a_2 - b_2)(a_1 - b_1)]}{(1+t)} \quad , \quad (L5.2.44)$$

$$a_3 + b_3 + a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 = -\frac{9}{128} + \frac{293}{640}t^2 - \frac{787}{1152}t^4 + \frac{3115}{10368}t^6 \quad , \quad (L5.2.45)$$

$$\frac{[(a_2 - b_2)(a_1 - b_1)]}{(1+t)} = \frac{3}{32} - \frac{3}{32}t - \frac{11}{24}t^2 + \frac{11}{24}t^3 + \frac{35}{96}t^4 - \frac{35}{96}t^5 \quad . \quad (L5.2.46)$$

L5.3 Contribution of $W_\infty^{(1)}$ and $W_\infty^{(2)}$

The term $W_\infty^{(1)}$ does not contribute to $\zeta(0)$. In fact, using (A.5)-(A.6), jointly with (L5.2.33), (L5.2.38), one finds

$$\begin{aligned} W_\infty^{(1)} &= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) \alpha_m^{-5} \\ &\sim \frac{x^{-2}}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{x^{-3}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^r}{r!} \tilde{B}_r x^{-r} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{3}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})} \cos\left(\frac{r\pi}{2}\right) \quad , \quad (L5.3.1) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that x^{-6} does not appear.

Now the series for $W_\infty^{(2)}$ is convergent, as may be checked using (L5.2.34), (L5.2.38). However, when the sum over m is rewritten using the splitting (A.7), the individual pieces become divergent. These *fictitious* divergences may be regularized dividing by α_m^{2s} , summing using (A.5)-(A.6), and then taking the limit $s \rightarrow 0$. With this understanding, and using the sums ρ_i defined in (F.15)-(F.18) of [4] one finds

$$\begin{aligned} W_\infty^{(2)} &= -2x^{-6}\rho_1 + 2x^{-6}\rho_2 + x^{-4}\rho_3 + \frac{3}{4}x^{-2}\rho_4 + 3x^{-6}\rho_5 - 3x^{-6}\rho_6 \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}x^{-4}\rho_7 - \frac{9}{8}x^{-2}\rho_8 - x^{-6}\rho_9 + x^{-6}\rho_{10} + \frac{x^{-4}}{2}\rho_{11} + \frac{3}{8}x^{-2}\rho_{12} \quad . \quad (L5.3.2) \end{aligned}$$

When odd powers of m greater than 1 occur, we can still apply (A.6) after re-expressing m^2 as $\alpha_m^2 - x^2$. Thus, applying again the contour formulae (A.5)-(A.6), only ρ_1 and ρ_9 are found to contribute to $\zeta^{(2)}(0)$, leading to

$$\zeta^{(2)}(0) = -\frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{24} = \frac{1}{30} \quad . \quad (L5.3.3)$$

L5.4 Effect of $W_\infty^{(3)}$, $W_\infty^{(4)}$ and $W_\infty^{(5)}$

The term $W_\infty^{(3)}$ does not contribute to $\zeta(0)$. In fact, using the relations (L5.2.35) and (L5.2.38) one finds

$$W_\infty^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{r=0}^2 k_{1r}(r+1)(r+3)(r+5) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) m^r \alpha_m^{-r-7} \right] \quad . \quad (L5.4.1)$$

In light of (A.5)-(A.6), x^{-6} does not appear in the asymptotic expansion of (L5.4.1) at large x .

For the term $W_\infty^{(4)}$ a remarkable cancellation occurs. In fact, using (L5.2.36) and (L5.2.38) one finds

$$W_\infty^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{r=0}^4 k_{2r}(r+2)(r+4)(r+6) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) m^r \alpha_m^{-r-8} \right] \quad . \quad (L5.4.2)$$

The application of (A.5)-(A.6) and (L5.2.29) leads to

$$\zeta^{(4)}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^4 k_{2r} = 0 \quad . \quad (L5.4.3)$$

Finally, using (L5.2.37)-(L5.2.38) one finds

$$W_{\infty}^{(5)} = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{r=0}^6 k_{3r} (r+3)(r+5)(r+7) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) m^r \alpha_m^{-r-9} \right] . \quad (L5.4.4)$$

Again, the contour formulae (A.5)-(A.6) lead to

$$\zeta^{(5)}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^6 k_{3r} = -\frac{1}{360} , \quad (L5.4.5)$$

in light of (L5.2.30)-(L5.2.31).

L5.5 Vanishing Effect of Higher-Order Terms

We now prove the statement made after (L5.2.21), i.e. that we do not need to compute the explicit form of c_k in (L5.2.18), $\forall k > 3$. In fact, the formulae (L5.2.25)-(L5.2.26) can be completed by

$$A_4 = \left(\frac{c_4}{c_0} \right) - \frac{\left(\frac{c_2}{c_0} \right)^2}{2} - \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right) \left(\frac{c_3}{c_0} \right) + \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{c_2}{c_0} \right) - \frac{\left(\frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)^4}{4} , \quad (L5.5.1)$$

plus infinitely many others, and the general term has the structure

$$A_n = \sum_{p=1}^l h_{np} (1+t)^{-p} + \sum_{r=0}^{2n} k_{nr} t^r , \quad \forall n \geq 1 , \quad (L5.5.2)$$

where $l < n$, the h_{np} are constants, and r assumes both odd and even values. The integer n appearing in (L5.5.2) should not be confused with the one occurring in (L5.2.1) and in the definition of m . We have indeed proved that $h_{11} = h_{21} = h_{31} = 0$, but the calculation

of h_{np} for all values of n is not obviously feasible. However, we will show that the exact value of h_{np} does not affect the $\zeta(0)$ value. Thus, $\forall n > 3$, we must study

$$H_{\infty}^{(n)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) \left(\frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^3 \left[\frac{A_n}{(\alpha_m)^n} \right] = H_{\infty}^{n,A} + H_{\infty}^{n,B} \quad , \quad (L5.5.3)$$

where, defining

$$a_{np} \equiv (p-n)(p-n-2)(p-n-4) \quad , \quad b_{np} \equiv 3 \left(-p^3 + (3+2n)p^2 - (n^2+3n+1)p \right) \quad , \quad (L5.5.4a)$$

$$c_{np} \equiv 3 \left(p^3 - (p^2 + p)n - p \right) \quad , \quad d_{np} \equiv -p(p+1)(p+2) \quad , \quad (L5.5.4b)$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\infty}^{n,A} &\equiv \sum_{p=1}^l h_{np} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) \left(\frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^3 \left[\alpha_m^{p-n} (m + \alpha_m)^{-p} \right] \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^l \frac{h_{np}}{8} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) \left[a_{np} \alpha_m^{p-n-6} (m + \alpha_m)^{-p} + b_{np} \alpha_m^{p-n-5} (m + \alpha_m)^{-p-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_{np} \alpha_m^{p-n-4} (m + \alpha_m)^{-p-2} + d_{np} \alpha_m^{p-n-3} (m + \alpha_m)^{-p-3} \right] \quad , \quad (L5.5.5) \end{aligned}$$

$$H_{\infty}^{n,B} \equiv -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{r=0}^{2n} k_{nr} (r+n)(r+n+2)(r+n+4) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m^2 - m) m^r \alpha_m^{-r-n-6} \right] \quad . \quad (L5.5.6)$$

Because we are only interested in understanding the behaviour of (L5.5.5) as a function of x , the application of the Euler-Maclaurin formula is more useful than the splitting (A.7).

In so doing, we find that the part of Eq. (C.2) of [4] involving the integral on the left-hand side, when $n = \infty$, contains the least negative power of x . Thus, if we prove that the conversion of (L5.5.5) into an integral only contains x^{-l} with $l > 6$, $\forall n > 3$, we have

proved that $H_\infty^{n,A}$ does not contribute to $\zeta(0)$, $\forall n > 3$. This is indeed the case, because in so doing we deal with the integrals defined in (F.19)-(F.26) of [4], where $I_1^{(np)}, I_3^{(np)}, I_5^{(np)}$ and $I_7^{(np)}$ are proportional to x^{-3-n} , and $I_2^{(np)}, I_4^{(np)}, I_6^{(np)}$ and $I_8^{(np)}$ are proportional to x^{-4-n} , where $n > 3$.

Finally, in (L5.5.6) we must study the case when r is even and the case when r is odd.

In so doing, defining

$$\Sigma_{(I)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^{2+r} \alpha_m^{-r-n-6} \quad , \quad \Sigma_{(II)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^{1+r} \alpha_m^{-r-n-6} \quad , \quad (L5.5.7)$$

we find for $r = 2k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\Sigma_{(I)} = \frac{x^{-3-n}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(3 + k + \frac{n}{2})} \quad , \quad (L5.5.8)$$

and for $r = 2k + 1$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{(I)} \sim \frac{x^{-3-n}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{2^l}{l!} \frac{\tilde{B}_l}{2} x^{-l} \Gamma\left(\frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{l\pi}{2}\right) \right. \\ \left. \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{l}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{5}{2})} + \dots + (-1)^{(1+k)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{5}{2} + k + \frac{l}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{7}{2} + k)} \right] \right\} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (L5.5.9)$$

Moreover, we find for $r = 2k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{(II)} \sim \frac{x^{-4-n}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{2^l}{l!} \frac{\tilde{B}_l}{2} x^{-l} \Gamma\left(\frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{l\pi}{2}\right) \right. \\ \left. \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + \frac{l}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 3)} + \dots + (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 2 + k + \frac{l}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 3 + k)} \right] \right\} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (L5.5.10)$$

and for $r = 2k + 1$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)

$$\Sigma_{(II)} = \frac{x^{-4-n}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 2)}{\Gamma(\frac{7}{2} + k + \frac{n}{2})} . \quad (L5.5.11)$$

Once more, in deriving (L5.5.8) and (L5.5.11) we used (A.5), and in deriving (L5.5.9)-(L5.5.10) we used (A.6). Thus, also $H_\infty^{n,B}$ does not contribute to $\zeta(0)$, $\forall n > 3$, and our proof is completed.

L5.6 $\zeta(0)$ Value

In light of (L5.3.3), (L5.4.3), (L5.4.5), and using the result proved in section L5.5, we conclude that for the complete massless field $(\psi^A, \tilde{\psi}^{A'})$

$$\zeta(0) = \frac{1}{30} - \frac{1}{360} = \frac{11}{360} . \quad (L5.6.1)$$

Remarkably, this coincides with the result obtained in the case of global boundary conditions [4]. Note that, if we study the classical boundary-value problem for the massless field obeying the Weyl equation and subject to homogeneous local boundary conditions

$$\sqrt{2} \, e n_A^{A'} \psi^A - \epsilon \tilde{\psi}^{A'} = \Phi^{A'} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S^3 ,$$

regularity of the solution implies the same conditions on the modes m_{np}, r_{np} as in the spectral case (section L4.3), even though the conditions on the modes are quite different if $\Phi^{A'}$ does not vanish, or for one-loop calculations. Note also that for our problem the degeneracy is half the one occurring in the case of global boundary conditions, since we need twice as many modes to get the same number of eigenvalue conditions ($J_{n+1}(E) =$

0, $\forall n \geq 0$, in the global case, or (L5.2.1) in the local case). If there are N massless fields, the full $\zeta(0)$ in (L5.6.1) should be multiplied by N .

The boundary conditions studied so far have also been expressed in terms of γ -matrices in the literature, as follows [4]. Denoting by A the Dirac operator, one squares it up and studies the second-order differential operator $A^\dagger A$. Thus, setting $P_\pm \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm i\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu n^\mu \right)$, one has to impose the local mixed boundary conditions

$$P_- \phi = 0 \quad , \quad (L5.6.2)$$

$$\left(n \cdot \nabla + \frac{(Tr K)}{2} \right) P_+ \phi = 0 \quad . \quad (L5.6.3)$$

One can easily check that (L5.6.2) coincides with our local boundary conditions (L5.1.3). However, one now deals with the extra condition (L5.6.3) involving the normal derivatives of the massless field. Condition (L5.6.3) is obtained by requiring that the image of the first-order operator C defined in (L5.1.64) also obeys the boundary conditions (L5.1.3), so that on S^3

$$\sqrt{2} \, {}_e n_A^{A'} \left(\nabla_{B'}^A \tilde{\psi}^{B'} \right) = \epsilon \left(\nabla_B^{A'} \psi^B \right) \quad . \quad (L5.6.4)$$

We then use (L5.1.72), and we also apply the well-known relations

$${}^{(4)}\nabla_i \psi^A = {}^{(3)}\nabla_i \psi^A - {}_e n_{B'}^A e^{BB'l} K_{il} \psi_B \quad , \quad (L5.6.5)$$

$$e_{AB'}^i e^{BB'l} = \left[-\frac{1}{2} h^{il} \epsilon_A^B + \text{const. } \epsilon^{ilk} \sqrt{h} \, {}_e n_{AB'} e^{BB'_k} \right] \quad , \quad (L5.6.6)$$

and of course (L5.1.3). One then finds that the contributions of ${}^{(3)}\nabla_i$ add up to zero, using also the property that ${}^{(3)}\nabla_i$ annihilates ${}_e n_{AB'}$. Moreover, the second term on the

right-hand side of (L5.6.6) plays no role because for our torsion-free model the extrinsic-curvature tensor of the boundary is symmetric. Thus (L5.6.4) leads to the boundary conditions

$$\left({}_en^{BB'}\nabla_{BB'} + \frac{(TrK)}{2} \right) \left(\sqrt{2} {}_en_A^{A'}\psi^A + \epsilon \tilde{\psi}^{A'} \right) = 0 \text{ on } S^3, \quad (L5.6.7)$$

which are clearly of the type (L5.6.3). We hope the reader will find it useful the translation into two-component spinor language of the approach used by other groups in the literature [4]. We should emphasize that (L5.6.7) (or equivalently (L5.6.3)) does not change the spectrum determined by (L5.1.3) (or equivalently (L5.6.2)). In fact, as we said before, (L5.6.7) only shows that the operator C defined in (L5.1.64) preserves the boundary condition (L5.1.3). This is automatically guaranteed, in the approach used in Lecture 4, by the eigenvalue equations (L5.1.56)-(L5.1.57), which confirm that A maps $D(A)$ (cf. (L5.1.87)) to itself.

L5.7 Summary

The Dirac operator is the naturally occurring first-order elliptic operator one has to consider in studying massive or massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in Riemannian four-geometries (here we are not concerned with the Lorentzian framework). Assuming that a spinor structure exists and is unique, the spinor fields acted upon by the Dirac operator belong to unprimed or to primed spin-space. These symplectic spaces are not isomorphic, and the basic property of the Dirac operator is to interchange them, in that it turns primed spinor fields into unprimed spinor fields, and the other way around.

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

By virtue of the first-order nature of the Dirac operator, only half of a fermionic field can be fixed on the boundary in the corresponding elliptic boundary-value problem, providing its index vanishes. The index is defined as the difference of the dimensions of the null-spaces of the Dirac operator and its adjoint, and its vanishing ensures that no topological obstructions exist to finding a unique, smooth solution of the classical boundary-value problem. This is well-posed providing spectral or supersymmetric boundary conditions are imposed. The former are motivated by the work on spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer. The latter are motivated by local supersymmetry transformations relating bosonic and fermionic fields. In the massless case, there is a deep relation between the classical elliptic problems with local or supersymmetric boundary conditions. The corresponding quantum theories are also closely related, and are studied by means of η - and ζ -functions. The η -function combines positive and negative eigenvalues of first-order elliptic operators. The generalized ζ -function is applied to regularize quantum amplitudes involving second-order, positive-definite elliptic operators. For fermionic fields, providing the asymptotic behaviour of eigenvalues makes it possible to obtain a good cut-off regularization, the regularized $\zeta(0)$ value for the Laplace-like operator on spinor fields is used in the quantum theory.

Positive- and negative-helicity Weyl equations, jointly with spinor Ricci identities, have also been used to restrict the class of elliptic boundary-value problems relevant for unified theories of fundamental interactions. In studying a general Riemannian four-manifold with boundary, the counterpart of the index-theory problem is the characterization of self-adjoint extensions of the Dirac operator.

Appendix A

The method described in section 7.3 of [4] and in Lecture 5 to perform $\zeta(0)$ calculations relies on the following properties. Given the zeta-function at large x

$$\zeta(s, x^2) \equiv \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (\lambda_n + x^2)^{-s} \quad , \quad (A.1)$$

one has in four-dimensions

$$\Gamma(3)\zeta(3, x^2) = \int_0^{\infty} t^2 e^{-x^2 t} G(t) dt \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right) x^{-n-2} \quad , \quad (A.2)$$

where we have used the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel for $t \rightarrow 0^+$

$$G(t) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n t^{\frac{n}{2}-2} \quad . \quad (A.3)$$

Such an expansion does actually exist for boundary conditions ensuring self-adjointness of the elliptic operators under consideration (see [4] and references therein). On the other hand, one also has the identity

$$\Gamma(3)\zeta(3, x^2) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} N_p \left(-\frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx}\right)^3 \log\left((ix)^{-p} F_p(ix)\right) \quad , \quad (A.4)$$

where N_p is the degeneracy of the problem and F_p is the function expressing the condition obeyed by the eigenvalues by virtue of boundary conditions. Here we focus on F_p functions which are (linear) combinations of Bessel functions (and their first derivatives) of order p , but in Lecture 5 a more complicated case is studied. [Moreover, the method also holds

for Bessel functions of non-integer order, as shown in [4]]. Hence $\zeta(0) = B_4$ is found, by comparison, as half the coefficient of x^{-6} in the asymptotic expansion of Eq. (A.4).

The contour formulae used in Lecture 5 to perform $\zeta(0)$ calculations are [4]

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} p^{2k} \alpha_p^{-2k-m} = \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}{2\Gamma(k + \frac{m}{2})} x^{1-m} \quad \forall k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad , \quad (A.5)$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} p \alpha_p^{-1-n} \sim \frac{x^{1-n}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^r}{r!} \tilde{B}_r x^{-r} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2})} \cos\left(\frac{r\pi}{2}\right) \quad , \quad (A.6)$$

where $\alpha_p(x) \equiv \sqrt{p^2 + x^2}$. Moreover, we have used the identity [4]

$$(p + \alpha_p)^{-3} = \frac{(\alpha_p - p)^3}{x^6} \quad . \quad (A.7)$$

Further References

Berry M. V. and Mondragon R. J. (1987) *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A 412**, 53.

Luckock H. C. (1991) *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 1755.

Reed M. and Simon B. (1975) *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. **2** (New York: Academic Press).

Problems for Students

- (P.1) Prove regularity at the origin of the η - and ζ -functions.
- (P.2) Write an essay on the η - and ζ -functions, describing in detail their relevance for Riemannian geometry, elliptic boundary-value problems and quantum field theory.
- (P.3) Following Carslaw and Jaeger 1959, prove equation (L3.2.12).
- (P.4) Under which conditions does the (integrated) kernel admit an asymptotic expansion as $t \rightarrow 0^+$?
- (P.5) In Riemannian four-geometries with a spinor structure, unprimed and primed spin-spaces are not isomorphic. Prove this result, and then give a description of this property by using the theory of differential forms.
- (P.6) Write down the Infeld-van der Waerden symbols in (flat) Riemannian four-geometries, bearing in mind their form in (flat) Lorentzian four-geometries.
- (P.7) Prove that the spinor covariant derivative defined in section L4.1, following Eq. (L4.1.34), exists and is unique.
- (P.8) Prove that, by virtue of the first-order nature of the Dirac operator, only half of a fermionic field can be fixed on the boundary. Then, following sections L4.2-L4.3 and chapter 4 of [4], use the mode-by-mode expansion of a massless spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field on a family of three-spheres centred on the origin to work out the form of all modes in the case of a flat Riemannian four-geometry bounded by S^3 . Which modes are regular ?

Which modes are singular at the origin ? Thus, what does it mean to fix half of the fermionic field on S^3 in this case ? What is the appropriate boundary term in the action functional ?

(P.9) If we impose spectral or supersymmetric boundary conditions on a three-sphere for massive Dirac fields, can we find a relation between the corresponding boundary-value problems analogous to the one worked out in section L4.3 in the massless case ?

(P.10) Repeat the analysis of problems (P.8)-(P.9) in the case of a flat Riemannian four-geometry bounded by two concentric three-spheres.

(P.11) What is the tensor form of the Dirac and Weyl equations ? For this problem, the reader is referred to Eqs. (2.5.19)-(2.5.25) of the book by J. M. Stewart: *Advanced General Relativity* (Cambridge University Press, 1990-2).

(P.12) What is the relation between the independent field strengths for spin $\frac{3}{2}$, and the independent spinor-valued one-forms appearing in the action functional of simple supergravity in Riemannian four-geometries ?

(P.13) If you can solve problem (P.12), try to use the Penrose potentials of section L4.4 to analyze the following boundary conditions for flat Euclidean four-space bounded by a three-sphere:

$$\sqrt{2} \, e n_A^{A'} \psi_i^A = \pm \tilde{\psi}_i^{A'} \quad \text{on} \quad S^3 \quad .$$

With our notation, $(\psi_i^A, \tilde{\psi}_i^{A'})$ are the spatial components of the independent spinor-valued one-forms representing the gravitino field, and $e n_A^{A'} \equiv -i n_A^{A'}$ is the Euclidean

Dirac Operator and Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry

normal to the three-sphere. In particular, what is the role played by gauge-invariance of the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ potentials, expressed in terms of solutions of positive- and negative-helicity Weyl equations, for this elliptic boundary-value problem ?

(P.14) In Eq. (L5.1.65), we have defined the *dagger* conjugation for spinor fields in flat Riemannian four-geometry. Is it possible to extend this definition of conjugation to the curved case ?

(P.15) In section L5.1 we have proved that the operator iC (see (L5.1.64)) is symmetric and has self-adjoint extensions. Can one now prove, by using the theory of deficiency indices, that iC admits an *unique* self-adjoint extension ? Moreover, can one extend the analysis of section L5.1 to curved Riemannian four-geometries ? Are they all Einstein manifolds ? [i.e. such that the Ricci tensor is proportional to the four-metric]

(P.16) A more powerful way exists to perform the $\zeta(0)$ calculation of sections L5.2-L5.6. For this purpose, read and try to repeat the analysis by Kamenshchik and Mishakov appearing in *Phys. Rev. D*, **47**, 1380; *Phys. Rev. D*, **49**, 816.

(P.17) Find the $\eta(0)$ value for the boundary-value problem studied in Lecture 5.

(P.18) Find the $\eta(0)$ and $\zeta(0)$ values for flat Riemannian four-geometry bounded by two concentric three-spheres, when the massless fermionic field is subject to supersymmetric boundary conditions.

The solution of problems (P.9)-(P.10), (P.12)-(P.15), (P.17) may lead to good research papers. Hence they should be given priority.